Screening masses in a neutral two-flavor color superconductor
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I. INTRODUCTION

From the time when the quarks were predicted [1], their nature has remained rather elusive. The reason is that direct experimental studies of quarks are very limited. Quarks do not exist in vacuum as free particles. Under normal conditions, they are always confined inside hadrons. The underlying theory of strong interactions quantum chromodynamics (QCD) predicts that quarks should become deconfined at very high temperatures and/or very high densities [2,3]. Unfortunately, it is very difficult to achieve sufficiently high densities and/or temperatures in laboratory.

Very high temperatures existed in the early Universe during the first few microseconds of its evolution [4]. Nowadays, somewhat similar conditions, although at considerably smaller scales and for much shorter periods of time, are repeatedly recreated in the so-called “little bangs” at the heavy ion colliders in CERN and at Brookhaven.

Sufficiently high densities may exist in the present Universe inside central regions of compact stars. Recently, this possibility attracted a lot of attention when it was suggested that various color superconducting phases with rather large values of gaps in their quasiparticle energy spectra could appear at densities that exist inside stars [5–8]. If this turns out to be true, this would be of prime importance. The presence of large gaps in the energy spectra can possibly be inferred from a detailed analysis of the observational data. This would provide a confirmation of the existence of new (quark) states of matter inside compact stars.

In theoretical studies of dense quark matter, it should be appreciated that matter in the bulk of stars is neutral and $\beta$-equilibrated. Under such conditions, the chemical potentials of different quarks should satisfy nontrivial relations. These, in turn, affect the pairing dynamics between quarks which is reflected in a specific choice of the ground state of matter. For example, it was argued in Ref. [9] that a mixture of the two-flavor color superconducting (2SC) phase and normal strange quarks is less favorable than the color-flavor-locked (CFL) phase after the charge neutrality condition is enforced. A similar conclusion was also reached in Ref. [10].

Assuming that the constituent medium modified mass of the strange quark is large (i.e., larger than the corresponding strange quark chemical potential), it was shown recently that neutral two-flavor quark matter in $\beta$ equilibrium can have another rather unusual ground state called the gapless two-flavor color superconductor (g2SC) [11]. While the symmetry in the g2SC ground state is the same as that in the conventional 2SC phase, the spectrum of the fermionic quasiparticles is different. In particular, two out of four gapped quasiparticles of the conventional 2SC phase become gapless in the g2SC phase. In addition, the number densities of the pairing quarks in the g2SC phase are not equal at zero temperature [11]. For example, the density of red (green) up quarks is different from the density of green (red) down quarks.

The existence of gapless color superconducting phases was confirmed in Refs. [12,13], and generalized to non-zero temperatures in Refs. [14,15]. In addition, it was also shown that a gapless CFL (gCFL) phase could appear in neutral strange quark matter [16,17]. At nonzero temperature, the gCFL phase and several other new phases (e.g., the so-called dSC and uSC phases) were studied in Refs. [18,19]. If the surface tension is sufficiently small, as suggested in Ref. [20], the mixed phase composed of the 2SC phase and the normal quark phase will be more favored [21]. It was also suggested that a nonrelativistic analogue of gapless superconducting phases could appear in a trapped gas of cold fermionic atoms [22–25]. (Note that an alternative ground state for the atomic system, similar to the quark mixed phases in Refs. [20,21,26], was proposed in Ref. [27].)

While the basic properties of gapless color superconducting phases have been established in Refs. [11–19], there is probably much more to be learned about these phases in the near future. In this paper, we give a detailed
derivation of the gluon and photon screening masses in neutral dense quark matter (the results were briefly presented in Ref. [28]). We consider the general case of two-flavor quark matter, covering both the gapped and the gapless 2SC phases.

The gluon screening properties in the case of the ideal 2SC phase (i.e., without a mismatch between the Fermi momenta of different quarks) were considered in detail in Refs. [29,30]. Some general features of the gluon screening in the g2SC phase were conjectured in Refs. [14,31] without performing the calculation. As we shall see below, the actual results for the Debye screening masses are in general agreement with the conjecture in Refs. [14,31]. The Meissner (magnetic) screening properties, however, are very different [28]. The calculations in this paper indicate a chromomagnetic instability in neutral dense quark matter for a range of parameters in the model. As we shall argue in Sec. VIII, this instability may lead to a gluon condensation in dense quark matter.

This paper is organized as follows. The linear response theory is briefly reviewed in Sec. II. After that, in Sec. III, we introduce the model and set up the main notation. There, we also present the general expression for the quark propagator in the color superconducting ground state of neutral dense quark matter. In Sec. IV, we briefly discuss the general expression for the polarization tensor in dense quark matter. In Sec. V, we study the polarization tensor \( \Pi^{AB}_{\mu \nu} \) for \( A, B = 1, 2, 3 \) and derive the corresponding expressions for the Debye and Meissner screening masses. We show that, in accordance with the symmetry breaking pattern, there is no Meissner effect (i.e., no Higgs mechanism) in this sector of the gauge theory. The polarization tensor \( \Pi^{AB}_{\mu \nu} \) for \( A, B = 8, 9 \) (i.e., the 8th gluon and the photon) is discussed in Sec. VI. There we derive the Debye and Meissner screening masses and briefly discuss their properties. Also, the mixing between the 8th gluon and the photon is discussed. In Sec. VII, we study the polarization tensor \( \Pi^{AB}_{\mu \nu} \) for \( A, B = 4, 5, 6, 7 \). The results for the Debye and Meissner screening masses are presented. As we show, the Debye screening mass is given by a rather simple expression that naturally interpolates between the limits of the normal phase and the ideal 2SC phase. The Meissner mass, on the other hand, has an unexpected property. Its value squared is negative in a range of parameters, indicating a chromomagnetic instability in dense quark matter. The discussion of the main results is given in Sec. VIII. Our findings are summarized in Sec. IX. Several appendices at the end contain useful formulas and some details of the calculation.

II. LINEAR RESPONSE THEORY AND POLARIZATION TENSOR

In order to present a self-contained discussion of the screening properties of dense quark matter, we start this paper with a brief introduction into the linear response theory and a discussion of the physical meaning of the polarization tensor in a gauge theory. The advanced reader, therefore, may skip this section and go directly to Sec. III.

The response of matter to an external perturbation is the main source of knowledge about properties of matter. The linear response theory is the simplest framework that is often used to calculate such a response. In application to quark matter, for example, one studies a response of the system to an external source \( J^A_\mu(x) \). The source is coupled to the quantum gauge field. The corresponding interaction part of the action reads

\[
S_J = \int d^4x A^{A,\mu}(x) J^A_\mu(x)
\]

\[
\equiv i \int d^4x \int d^4y A^{A,\mu}(x) (D^{-1})_{\mu \nu}^{AB}(x - y) A^{B, \nu}(y),
\]

where \( A^{B, \nu}(y) \) is the classical field associated with the external source \( J^A_\mu(x) \), and \( (D^{-1})_{\mu \nu}^{AB} \) is the inverse free gluon propagator. Because of the presence of the external source, the expectation value of the gauge field becomes nonzero. In the linear response theory, it is given by the Kubo’s formula [32],

\[
\langle A^A_\mu(x) \rangle = -i \int d^4y D^{AB\mu}_{\mu \nu}(x - y) J^{B, \nu}(y),
\]

where \( D^{AB\mu}_{\mu \nu}(x - y) \) is the retarded gluon propagator. In momentum space, this relation takes the following form:

\[
\langle A^A_\mu(P) \rangle = -i D^{AB\mu}_{\mu \nu}(P) J^{B, \nu}(P),
\]

where \( P^\mu = (p^\mu, \mathbf{p}) \) is the energy-momentum four-vector. By making use of this result, it is instructive to derive an expression for the induced current. It is given by

\[
J^{A, \mu\nu}_{\mu \nu}(P) = J^{A, \mu\nu}_{\mu \nu}(P) - J^{A, \mu\nu}_{\mu \nu}(P)
\]

\[
= \tilde{d}((D^{-1})^{AB\mu}_{\mu \nu}(P) - (D^{-1})^{AB\mu}_{\mu \nu}(P))\langle A^{B, \nu}(P) \rangle
\]

\[
= \tilde{\Pi}^{AB\mu}_{\mu \nu}(P)\langle A^{B, \nu}(P) \rangle,
\]

where \( \Pi^{AB\mu}_{\mu \nu}(P) \) is the gluon self-energy (or the gluon polarization tensor). By definition, this is the one-particle irreducible part of the gluon two-point function. The structure of this function is constrained by the gauge symmetry. To see this we consider the Slavnov-Taylor identity for the full gluon propagator [33]. The explicit form of this identity depends on a specific gauge fixing. In the covariant gauge, for example, it reads

\[
p^{\mu\nu}J^{\mu\nu}(P) = p^{\mu\nu}J^{\mu\nu}(P) \equiv \frac{1}{\lambda},
\]

where \( \lambda \) is the gauge fixing parameter. In vacuum, where Lorentz symmetry is not broken, this relation implies that the gluon self-energy is transverse, i.e., \( p^{\mu\nu}\Pi^{AB\mu}_{\mu \nu}(P) = 0 \).

Because of this constraint, the tensor structure of \( \Pi^{AB\mu}_{\mu \nu}(P) \) in vacuum is fixed unambiguously.
\[ \Pi_{\mu\nu}(p) = \Pi^{AB}(p^2) \left( g_{\mu\nu} - \frac{p_{\mu} p_{\nu}}{p^2} \right). \]

where the metric tensor is defined as \( g_{\mu\nu} = \text{diag}(1, -1, -1, -1) \). At nonzero temperatures and/or densities, the Lorentz symmetry is broken down to its subgroup of spatial rotations SO(3). Then, the tensor structure of the gluon self-energy can have a more general form,

\[
\Pi^{AB,\mu\nu}(p) = \left( g^{\mu\nu} - u^\mu u^\nu + \frac{p^\mu p^\nu}{p^2} \right) H^{AB} + u^\mu u^\nu K^{AB}
- \frac{p^\mu p^\nu}{p^2} L^{AB} + \frac{u^\mu p^\nu + p^\mu u^\nu}{p} M^{AB},
\]

where \( u_\mu = (1, 0, 0, 0) \) is a timelike four-vector that specifies the rest frame of the quark system and \( p^\mu = (0, p) \) is the momentum three-vector with the absolute value \( p = |p| \). The component functions \( H^{AB}, K^{AB}, L^{AB}, \) and \( M^{AB} \) are functions of \( p_0 \) and \( p \). Now, the Slavnov-Taylor identity in the covariant gauge takes the form

\[ KL + p_0^2 K - p^2 L + M(M - 2p_0 p) = 0, \]  

(for simplicity, the superscripts “\( AB \)” were omitted here). It is easy to check that this is less restrictive than the transversality condition, \( P_\mu \Pi^{\mu\nu}(p) = 0 \), required in vacuum. Indeed, the transversality is equivalent to the following set of two relations between the component functions:

\[
L = -\frac{p_0^2}{p^2} K \tag{9a}
\]
\[
M = \frac{p_0}{p} K. \tag{9b}
\]

While these are sufficient conditions to fulfill the Slavnov-Taylor identity in Eq. (8), they are not the necessary conditions in a non-Abelian gauge theory when the Lorentz symmetry is broken. (It should be emphasized, however, that these are the necessary conditions in Abelian gauge theories at nonzero temperatures and/or densities [32].)

In this paper, we study the polarization tensor \( \Pi^{AB,\mu\nu}(p) \) in the case of dense quark matter which permits color superconductivity. In particular, we discuss how the structure of the polarization tensor is affected by the (gapless) color superconductivity.

It is usually said that superconductivity is a result of a gauge symmetry breaking. This common misleading statement may suggest that the polarization tensor \( \Pi_{\mu\nu}(p) \) does not need to satisfy the Slavnov-Taylor identity (5). In fact, this is not the case because a local (gauge) symmetry can never be truly broken [34].

In practice, when doing specific calculations in gauge theories, one always breaks the local symmetry by a gauge fixing. As a result, it is only a global symmetry that may remain unbroken after the gauge choice is made. For example, in the covariant gauge which we discussed above, the global color symmetry of the QCD action is left unbroken. Then, in a color superconducting phase of quark matter, this global symmetry is broken. In such a description, the Goldstone theorem requires the appearance of an appropriate number of the Nambu-Goldstone bosons (cf., collective excitations in Ref. [35]). Obviously, the appearance of these additional degrees of freedom is an artifact of the gauge fixing. Nevertheless, their inclusion in the analysis is important in order to insure that the general requirements of the gauge symmetry, such as the Slavnov-Taylor identity (5), are fulfilled [36]. Having said this, one should appreciate that the Nambu-Goldstone bosons in question are not the physical degrees of freedom. This conclusion is easy to reach by noticing that there exists a gauge, namely, the so-called unitary gauge, in which these bosons can be completely eliminated. In a way, their role is similar to the role of the Faddeev-Popov ghosts [37]. While both types of fields are unphysical, they are necessary for a consistent description of a gauge theory.

Now let us further discuss the physical meaning of the polarization tensor. From a relation similar to that in Eq. (4), it is clear that, in an Abelian theory such as QED, this tensor is directly related to an observable quantity, namely, the induced current. The corresponding current is a gauge invariant quantity in an Abelian theory. In contrast, the induced current in a non-Abelian theory is not a gauge invariant quantity. Then, the physical meaning of the polarization tensor is not so clear. In spite of this difficulty, we shall use the same interpretation of the polarization tensor in quark matter as in an Abelian theory.

In this paper, we study static large-distance, electric and magnetic, screening properties of quark matter. These describe the response of the system to a static perturbation from color/electric charges and currents. The static limit means that \( p_0 = 0 \). In this case, the only nontrivial components of the polarization tensor will be \( H(p) \) and \( K(p) \) which depend only on \( p \). Note that

\[
\Pi_{00}(0, p) = K(p), \tag{10a}
\]
\[
\Pi_{ij}(0, p) = \left( g_{ij} - \frac{p_i p_j}{p^2} \right) H(p). \tag{10b}
\]

Let us denote the values of the two nontrivial component functions in the limit \( p \to 0 \) (large distances) as follows:

\[
m_D^2 = -\lim_{p \to 0} K(p), \tag{11a}
\]
\[
m_M^2 = -\lim_{p \to 0} H(p). \tag{11b}
\]

The quantities \( m_D \) and \( m_M \) are the Debye and Meissner screening masses, respectively. It can be shown, see, for example, Ref. [32,38], that the quantity \( m_D \) determines
the large-distance behavior of the screened potential created by a static color/electric charge, i.e., \( V(r) \sim \exp(-m_{\text{pl}} r) \). By making use of the analogy with solid state physics systems, we say that a system is a metal when \( m_{\text{pl}} \) is nonzero, and it is an insulator when \( m_{\text{pl}} \) is zero. The quantity \( m_M \), when nonzero, determines the large-distance falloff of the (chromo-)magnetic field inside a color superconductor, i.e., \( B(r) \sim \exp(-m_M r) \). Obviously, nonzero \( m_M \) is an indication of the Meissner effect. In the normal phase, on the other hand, the value of \( m_M \) is vanishing.

### III. QUARK PROPAGATOR

In this paper, we continue the study of dense two-flavor quark matter constrained by the conditions of the charge neutrality and the \( \beta \) equilibrium \([9–19, 39–41]\). The use of phenomenological Nambu-Jona-Lasinio (NJL) type models has proved to be very convenient in such studies. The NJL model can be thought of as a low-energy theory of QCD in which (massive) gluons, as independent degrees of freedom, are integrated out. The gluons could be reintroduced back by gauging the color symmetry in the NJL model, providing a semirigorous framework for studying the effect of the Cooper pairing on the physical properties of gluons.

In order to study the gluon screening properties in dense quark matter, we need to know the quark coupling to the gauge fields. This is determined by the quadratic part of the quark Lagrangian density

\[
\mathcal{L}^{(2)} = \bar{\psi}(i \gamma^\mu \partial_\mu - m + \mu_c \gamma_0 + g \gamma^\mu A_\mu T_a + e \gamma^\mu A_\mu Q) \psi,
\]

where \( T_a \) and \( Q \) are the generators of \( SU(3)_c \) and \( U(1)_{\text{em}} \) gauge groups. The coupling constants of the strong interactions and of the electromagnetism are denoted by \( g \) and \( e \), respectively. The up and down quark masses are assumed to be the same \((m_u = m_d = m)\). The quark spinor field has the following color-flavor structure:

\[
\psi = \psi_{ia} = \begin{pmatrix} \psi_{ur} \\ \psi_{ag} \\ \psi_{ab} \\ \psi_{dr} \\ \psi_{dg} \\ \psi_{db} \end{pmatrix},
\]

where \( i \in (u, d) \) is the flavor index and \( \alpha \in (r, g, b) \) is the color index.

In \( \beta \) equilibrium, the matrix of chemical potentials in the color-flavor space \( \mu \) is given in terms of the quark chemical potential \( \mu \) (note that \( \mu_B = 3 \mu \) is the baryon chemical potential), the chemical potential for the electrical charge \( \mu_c \), and the color chemical potential \( \mu_S \),

\[
\mu_{ij}^{\alpha \beta} = (\mu \delta_{ij} - \mu_c Q_{ij}) \delta^{\alpha \beta} + \frac{2}{\sqrt{3}} \mu_S \delta_{ij} (T_k)^{\alpha \beta}.
\]

In QCD the color chemical potential \( \mu_S \) comes as a result of a nonzero expectation value of the 8th gluon field induced by the Cooper pairing \([42]\). Its absolute value is small because it is suppressed parametrically by the quark chemical potential, \( \mu_S \ll \Delta^2/\mu \).

The explicit expressions for nontrivial elements of matrix \( \mu \) read

\[
\begin{align*}
\mu_{ur} &= \mu_{ag} = \mu - \frac{2}{3} \mu_c + \frac{1}{3} \mu_S, \\
\mu_{dr} &= \mu_{dg} = \mu + \frac{1}{3} \mu_c + \frac{1}{3} \mu_S, \\
\mu_{ab} &= \mu - \frac{2}{3} \mu_c - \frac{2}{3} \mu_S, \\
\mu_{db} &= \mu + \frac{1}{3} \mu_c - \frac{2}{3} \mu_S.
\end{align*}
\]

To study color superconducting phases, it is convenient to introduce the following \( 8N_cN_f \)-component Nambu-Gorkov spinors:

\[
\tilde{\Psi} = (\tilde{\psi}, \tilde{\psi}_C), \quad \Psi = \begin{pmatrix} \psi \\ \psi_C \end{pmatrix},
\]

where \( \psi_C = C\tilde{\psi}^T \) is the charge-conjugate spinor, and \( C = i\gamma^2\gamma^0 \) is the charge-conjugation matrix. In this basis, the quadratic part of the quark Lagrangian density \( \mathcal{L}^{(2)} \) becomes

\[
\mathcal{L}^{(2)} = \bar{\Psi} S_0^{-1} \Psi + \bar{\Psi} A_\mu \tilde{\Gamma}_A \Psi,
\]

where the explicit form of vertex \( \tilde{\Gamma}_A^\mu \) is

\[
\tilde{\Gamma}_A^\mu = \text{diag}(\Gamma_A^\mu, \Gamma_A^\mu) = \begin{cases} \text{diag}(g \gamma^\mu T_a - g \gamma^\mu T_a^0) & \text{for } A = a = 1, \ldots, 8, \\
\text{diag}(e \gamma^\mu Q - e \gamma^\mu Q) & \text{for } A = 9 \text{ (photon).}
\end{cases}
\]

In momentum space, the inverse free quark propagator \( S_0^{-1} \) reads

\[
[S_0(K)]^{-1} = \begin{pmatrix} [G_0^+(K)]^{-1} & 0 \\ 0 & [G_0^-(K)]^{-1} \end{pmatrix}.
\]

The explicit form for the diagonal elements is

\[
\begin{align*}
[G_0^+(K)]^{-1} &= \gamma^0[(k_0 - E_{ur}^-) \Lambda_k^+ + (k_0 + E_{ur}^+) \Lambda_k^-] P^{(1)} + \gamma^0[(k_0 - E_{ag}^-) \Lambda_k^+ + (k_0 + E_{ag}^+) \Lambda_k^-] P^{(2)} + \gamma^0[(k_0 - E_{ab}^-) \Lambda_k^+ + (k_0 + E_{ab}^+) \Lambda_k^-] P^{(3)} + \gamma^0[(k_0 - E_{db}^-) \Lambda_k^+ + (k_0 + E_{db}^+) \Lambda_k^-] P^{(4)},
\end{align*}
\]

with the notation \( E_{ia}^\pm = E_k \mp \mu_{ia} \) and \( E_k = \sqrt{k^2 + m^2} \).

The four projectors \( P^{(l)} \) (with \( l = 1, \ldots, 4 \)) in the six
dimensional color-flavor space are defined as follows:

\begin{align}
(P^{(1)})_{ij}^{\alpha\beta} &= (\delta^{\alpha\beta} - \delta^{ab} \delta^{ij}) \delta_{ia} \delta_{jb}, \\
(P^{(2)})_{ij}^{\alpha\beta} &= (\delta^{\alpha\beta} - \delta^{ab} \delta^{ij}) \delta_{ia} \delta_{jd}, \\
(P^{(3)})_{ij}^{\alpha\beta} &= \delta^{ab} \delta^{ij} \delta_{ia} \delta_{ja}, \\
(P^{(4)})_{ij}^{\alpha\beta} &= \delta^{ab} \delta^{ij} \delta_{ia} \delta_{jd}.
\end{align}

(21a) - (21d)

It is not difficult to see that \(P^{(1)}\) projects out the red up and the green up quarks, and \(P^{(2)}\) projects out the red down and the green down quarks. The projectors \(P^{(3)}\) and \(P^{(4)}\) project out the blue up and the blue down quarks, respectively. In Eq. (20), we also introduced the energy projectors,

\[ \Lambda_k^\pm = \frac{1}{2} \left( 1 \pm \gamma^0 \frac{\gamma \cdot k + m}{E_k} \right). \]  

(22)

These projectors satisfy the following relations [43]:

\[ \gamma^0 \Lambda_k^+ \gamma^0 = \Lambda_k^-, \quad (23a) \]

\[ \gamma^5 \Lambda_k^- \gamma^5 = \Lambda_k^+, \quad (23b) \]

where

\[ \Lambda_k^\pm = \frac{1}{2} \left( 1 \pm \gamma^0 \frac{\gamma \cdot k - m}{E_k} \right). \]  

(24)

is an alternative set of energy projectors. In the chiral limit, the two sets of projectors in Eqs. (22) and (24) coincide.

The full quark propagator in a color superconducting phase takes the following form:

\[ [S(K)]^{-1} = \begin{pmatrix} G_0^+ (K) & \Delta^- \\ \Delta^+ & G_0^+ (K) \end{pmatrix}^{-1}. \]  

(25)

where

\[ \Delta^- = -i e^b e^g \Delta, \quad (26a) \]

\[ \Delta^+ = \gamma^0 (\Delta^-)^\dagger \gamma^0 = -i e^b e^g \Delta^*, \quad (26b) \]

The gap parameter \(\Delta\) is determined from an appropriate gap equation, while the values of the chemical potentials \(\mu_c\) and \(\mu_s\) are determined from charge neutrality conditions [9–11,13,14,39–41]. The explicit form of the gap equation and the neutrality conditions is not important for the purposes of this paper.

From Eq. (25), we obtain

\[ S = \begin{pmatrix} G^+ & \Xi^- \\ \Xi^+ & G^- \end{pmatrix}. \]  

(27)

where

\[ G^+ = [(G_0^+)^{-1} - \Delta^- G_0^- \Delta^+]^{-1}, \quad (28a) \]

\[ \Xi^\pm = -G_0^+ \Delta^\pm G^\pm, \quad (28b) \]

with the free quark propagators

\[ G_0^+ = \gamma^0 \left[ \Lambda_k^+ \left( k_0 + E_{ar} \right) + \Lambda_k^- \left( k_0 + E_{ar} \right) \right] P^{(1)} + \gamma^0 \left[ \Lambda_k^+ \left( k_0 + E_{dr} \right) \right] P^{(2)} + \gamma^0 \left[ \Lambda_k^- \left( k_0 - E_{dr} \right) \right] P^{(3)} + \gamma^0 \left[ \Lambda_k^- \left( k_0 - E_{dr} \right) \right] P^{(4)}, \]  

(29)

obtained from Eq. (20). By making use of the following relations:

\[ e^b P^{(1)} e^b = -P^{(2)}, \quad (30a) \]

\[ e^b P^{(2)} e^b = -P^{(1)}, \quad (30b) \]

\[ e^b P^{(3)} e^b = 0, \quad (30c) \]

\[ e^b P^{(4)} e^b = 0, \quad (30d) \]

one can derive an explicit form of the Nambu-Gorkov components of the full propagator,

\[ G^\pm = \sum_{f=1}^4 G_f^+ P^{(f)}, \]  

(31a)

\[ \Xi^\pm = \sum_{f=1}^4 e^b P^{(f)} e^b P^{(2)} + \sum_{f=1}^4 e^b P^{(2)} e^b P^{(f)}. \]  

(31b)

The explicit form of the functions \(G_f^+\) and \(\Xi_{ij}^\pm\) reads

\[ G_1^+ = \frac{k_0 - E_{dr}}{(k_0 + \delta \mu)^2 - E_\Delta^2} \gamma^0 \Lambda_k^+ + \frac{k_0 + E_{dr}}{(k_0 + \delta \mu)^2 - E_\Delta^2} \gamma^0 \Lambda_k^-, \]  

(32a)

\[ G_2^+ = \frac{k_0 - E_{ar}}{(k_0 + \delta \mu)^2 - E_\Delta^2} \gamma^0 \Lambda_k^+ + \frac{k_0 + E_{ar}}{(k_0 + \delta \mu)^2 - E_\Delta^2} \gamma^0 \Lambda_k^-, \]  

(32b)

\[ G_3^+ = \frac{1}{k_0 + E_{bu}^+} \gamma^0 \Lambda_k^+ + \frac{1}{k_0 - E_{bu}^+} \gamma^0 \Lambda_k^-, \]  

(32c)

\[ G_4^+ = \frac{1}{k_0 + E_{bd}^+} \gamma^0 \Lambda_k^+ + \frac{1}{k_0 - E_{bd}^+} \gamma^0 \Lambda_k^-, \]  

(32d)

and
\[ \Xi_{12} = -i \Delta \left( \frac{1}{(k_0 + \delta \mu)^2} (\gamma^5 \bar{\Lambda}_{k}^+ + \gamma^5 \bar{\Lambda}_{k}^-) \right) \]
\[ \Xi_{21} = -i \Delta \left( \frac{1}{(k_0 + \delta \mu)^2} (\gamma^5 \bar{\Lambda}_{k}^+ + \gamma^5 \bar{\Lambda}_{k}^-) \right) \]

(33a)

where the following notation was used:

\[ E^\pm_k = E_k \pm \mu, \]
\[ E^\pm_{\Delta k} = \sqrt{(E^\pm_k)^2 + \Delta^2}, \]
\[ \bar{\mu} = \frac{\mu_{ur} + \mu_{dg}}{2} = \frac{\mu_{ug} + \mu_{dr}}{2} = \frac{\mu_\mu + \mu_g}{6}, \]
\[ \delta \mu = \frac{\mu_{dg} - \mu_{ur}}{2} = \frac{\mu_{dr} - \mu_{ug}}{2} = \frac{\mu_\mu}{2}. \]

(34a)

(34b)

(34c)

(34d)

In the following sections, we use the full quark propagator in Eq. (27) to construct the polarization tensor for the gauge fields.

### IV. POLARIZATION TENSOR IN DENSE QUARK MATTER

In dense quark matter, screening effects play a very important role at length scales larger than the average distance between quarks. In the normal phase, for example, the main effects are the Debye screening and the Landau damping. These are the properties that can be extracted from the behavior of the polarization tensor. The polarization tensor in dense matter is given by the so-called hard dense loop (HDL) approximation [44,45]. This approximation results from taking into account only the dominant one-loop quark contribution in which the internal quark momenta are hard (i.e., typical momenta are of order \( \mu \)). The density of quark states with hard momenta is proportional to \( \mu^3 \) (i.e., the density of states at the Fermi surface). Because of this large density of states, the quark HDL contribution is large compared to the contributions from the gluon and the ghost loops. Therefore, the gluon and the ghost contributions are not included in the HDL approximation.

In the 2SC/g2SC phase of dense quark matter, the polarization tensor is given approximately by the following one-loop expression [29,30]:

\[
\Pi_{\mu\nu,ab}^{\mu\nu,ab} (P) = \frac{4 \alpha_s \mu^2}{\pi} \delta^{ab} \left[ \frac{1}{p^2} Q \left( \frac{p_0}{p} \right) + \frac{1}{2} \left( \frac{g_{\mu\nu} - u^\mu u^\nu}{p^2} \right) \right]
\]

(36)

where \( \alpha_s = g^2 / 4\pi \), and

\[
Q(x) = - \frac{1}{2} \int_0^1 d\xi \left( \frac{\xi}{\xi + x - i\epsilon} + \frac{x}{\xi - x - i\epsilon} \right)
= \frac{x}{2} \ln \left| \frac{1 + x}{1 - x} \right| - 1 - i \frac{\pi}{2} \left| x \right| \theta(1 - x^2).
\]

(37)

In the static limit \((p_0 = 0)\), we obtain \(Q(0) = -1\), and the polarization tensor becomes

\[
\Pi_{\mu\nu,ab}^{\mu\nu,ab} (0, p) = -\frac{4 \alpha_s \mu^2}{\pi} \delta^{ab} u^\mu u^\nu.
\]

(38)

This gluon polarization tensor describes the static screening of quark color charges at large distances in the normal phase of dense quark matter. By comparing with Eqs. (10) and (11), we derive the corresponding expression for the Debye screening mass,

\[
m_D^2 = \frac{4 \alpha_s \mu^2}{\pi}.
\]

(39)

As it should be, the Meissner screening mass is zero in the normal phase.

### V. GLUONS WITH \( A = 1, 2, 3 \)

In this section, we start with the screening properties of the \( A = 1, 2, 3 \) gluons. These are the gluons of the unbroken SU(2)_c subgroup which couple only to the red and green quarks. The corresponding expression for the
polarization tensor is diagonal, $\Pi_{\mu\nu}^{AB}(P) = \delta_{AB}\Pi_{11}^{\mu\nu}(P)$. After performing the traces over the color, flavor and Nambu-Gorkov indices, we arrive at

$$\Pi_{11}^{\mu\nu}(P) = \frac{g^2 T}{4} \sum_{n} \int \frac{d^3 k}{(2\pi)^3} \text{Tr}_D [\gamma^\mu G^+_i(K) \gamma^\nu G^+_i(K) + \gamma^\mu G^-_i(K) \gamma^\nu G^-_i(K) + \gamma^\mu G_3^+_i(K) \gamma^\nu G_3^+_i(K) + \gamma^\mu G_3^+_i(K) \gamma^\nu G_3^+_i(K)]$$

where $T$ is the temperature and $K' = K - P$. Here we use the imaginary time formalism, and the energy integration is replaced by the sum over the fermionic Matsubara frequencies $\omega_n = \pi T(2n + 1)$. The explicit expressions for the components $G^+_i$ and $\Xi^+_i$ of the quark propagator are given in Eqs. (32) and (33). After the summation over the Matsubara frequencies, we obtain the result in the following form:

$$\Pi_{11}^{\mu\nu}(P) = \pi \alpha_s \int \frac{d^3 k}{(2\pi)^3} [(C^1_{+-} + C^2_{++}) T^\mu_{++} + (C^1_{++} + C^2_{+-}) T^\mu_{+-} + (C^1_{++} + C^2_{+-}) U^\mu_{++} + (C^1_{+-} + C^2_{++}) U^\mu_{+-}].$$

In this expression, we introduced the following notation for the two types of Dirac traces:

$$T^\mu_{\pm\pm} = 2 \mu^\mu p^\nu + 2 \xi^\mu p^\nu + (1 - \xi^2)(g^{\mu\nu} - u^\mu u^\nu) - (1 - 3 \xi^2) \frac{p^\mu p^\nu}{p^2},$$

$$T^\mu_{\pm\mp} = -(1 + \xi^2)(g^{\mu\nu} - u^\mu u^\nu) + (1 - 3 \xi^2) \frac{p^\mu p^\nu}{p^2},$$

$$U^\mu_{\pm\pm} = -(1 + \xi^2)(g^{\mu\nu} - u^\mu u^\nu) + (1 - 3 \xi^2) \frac{p^\mu p^\nu}{p^2},$$

$$U^\mu_{\pm\mp} = -2 u^\mu u^\nu - (1 - \xi^2)(g^{\mu\nu} - u^\mu u^\nu) - (1 - 3 \xi^2) \frac{p^\mu p^\nu}{p^2} + 2 \xi \frac{u^\mu p^\nu - p^\mu u^\nu}{p^2}.$$

Now we would like to note that there are two different types of coefficient functions in Eq. (41). The coefficients $C^{11}_{\pm\pm}$, $C^{22}_{\pm\pm}$, $C^{12}_{\pm\mp}$, and $C^{12}_{\mp\pm}$ originate from particle-hole and antiparticle-antiparticle loops. In the leading order approximation, we drop the antiparticle-antiparticle contributions to the polarization tensor. These are suppressed by an inverse power of the quark chemical potential. In addition, in the static long-wavelength limit ($p_0 = 0$ and $p \to 0$), the particle-hole contributions simplify considerably. The approximate expressions read

$$C^{11}_{\pm\pm} \approx -\frac{\Delta^2}{4(E_{\Delta k})^3} [1 - \theta(-E_{\Delta k}^+ + \delta \mu)] - \delta(-E_{\Delta k}^- + \delta \mu) \frac{(E_{\Delta k}^-)^2 + (E_k^-)^2 - 2E_{\Delta k} E_k^-}{4(E_{\Delta k})^2},$$

$$C^{22}_{\pm\pm} \approx -\frac{\Delta^2}{4(E_{\Delta k})^3} [1 - \theta(-E_{\Delta k}^- + \delta \mu)] - \delta(-E_{\Delta k}^+ + \delta \mu) \frac{(E_{\Delta k}^+)^2 + (E_k^+)^2 + 2E_{\Delta k} E_k^+}{4(E_{\Delta k})^2},$$

$$C^{12}_{\pm\mp} = C^{21}_{\mp\pm} \approx -\frac{\Delta^2}{4(E_{\Delta k})^3} [1 - \theta(-E_{\Delta k}^- + \delta \mu)] - \delta(-E_{\Delta k}^+ + \delta \mu) \frac{\Delta^2}{4(E_{\Delta k})^2}.$$
Here we neglected the corrections due to nonzero quark masses. This is justified if the shift of the quark Fermi momenta due to such masses is small, i.e., \( m^2 / \mu \ll \delta \mu \).

We also used the following relations valid when \( p \to 0 \):

\[
\begin{align*}
\Delta' = |k - p| = k - p \xi, & \quad (46a) \\
E_k' = E_k - \frac{k}{E_k} p \xi, & \quad (46b) \\
E_{\Delta,k}^+ = E_{\Delta,k} - \frac{k}{E_k} p \xi, & \quad (46c) \\
\theta(-E_{\Delta,k} - \delta \mu) + \delta(-E_{\Delta,k} - \delta \mu) \frac{k}{E_k} E_{\Delta,k} p \xi. & \quad (46d)
\end{align*}
\]

As is easy to check, the terms with the \( \theta \)- and \( \delta \) functions in Eq. (45) contribute only in the g2SC phase when \( \Delta < \delta \mu \) (this also includes the normal phase as a limiting case with \( \Delta = 0 \)).

Now, the coefficients \( C_{1,2,3}^{11,22,12,21} \) and \( C_{1,2,3}^{21,12,22} \) are made of particle-antiparticle loops only. Their contributions to the polarization tensor are not negligible although they are formally suppressed by an inverse power of the quark chemical potential. In fact, the corresponding contributions are ultraviolet divergent. The divergences are removed by subtractions of the corresponding vacuum terms. One can also check that a nonzero gap parameter \( (\Delta \ll \mu) \) leads only to a small correction to these particle-antiparticle contributions. Thus, we approximate the corresponding coefficient functions as follows:

\[
\begin{align*}
C_{1,2,3}^{11,22} \approx C_{1,2,3}^{21,12} \approx k / (k + \mu) + 1, & \quad (47a) \\
C_{1,2,3}^{21,22} \approx C_{1,2,3}^{12,21} \approx 0. & \quad (47b)
\end{align*}
\]

While the traces in Eq. (44) depend on the angular coordinate \( \xi \), the approximate coefficient functions \( C_{1,2,3}^{11,22,12,21} \) in Eqs. (45) and (47) do not. Therefore, the corresponding angular integration in Eq. (41) can be easily performed:

\[
\begin{align*}
\int_{-1}^1 d\xi T_{\mu\nu}^{\mu\nu} \approx 4u^\mu u^\nu - \frac{4}{3}(g_{\mu\nu} - u^\mu u^\nu), & \quad (48a) \\
\int_{-1}^1 d\xi T_{\mu\nu}^{\mu\nu} \approx -\frac{8}{3}(g_{\mu\nu} - u^\mu u^\nu), & \quad (48b) \\
\int_{-1}^1 d\xi U_{\mu\nu}^{\mu\nu} \approx -\frac{8}{3}(g_{\mu\nu} - u^\mu u^\nu), & \quad (48c) \\
\int_{-1}^1 d\xi U_{\mu\nu}^{\mu\nu} \approx -4u^\mu u^\nu - \frac{4}{3}(g_{\mu\nu} - u^\mu u^\nu). & \quad (48d)
\end{align*}
\]

The results on the right-hand side are independent of the momentum \( p \). Therefore, in order to derive the final expression for the polarization tensor, we need to calculate only the following momentum integrals:

\[
\begin{align*}
\int d\xi k' C_{1,2,3}^{11,22} & \approx -\frac{1}{2} \mu^2 \left[ 1 + \frac{\delta \mu \theta(\delta \mu - \Delta)}{\sqrt{\delta \mu^2 - \Delta^2}} \right], & \quad (49a) \\
\int d\xi k' C_{1,2,3}^{12,21} & \approx -\frac{1}{2} \mu^2 \left[ 1 - \frac{\delta \mu \theta(\delta \mu - \Delta)}{\sqrt{\delta \mu^2 - \Delta^2}} \right], & \quad (49b) \\
\int d\xi k' C_{1,2,3}^{11,22} & \approx 1/2 \bar{\mu}^2, & \quad (49c) \\
\int d\xi k' C_{1,2,3}^{12,21} & \approx 0. & \quad (49d)
\end{align*}
\]

The details of the calculation are given in Appendix C. Note that, in the 2SC phase when \( \delta \mu < \Delta \), the contributions from the normal \( (C_{1,2,3}^{11,22}) \) and abnormal \( (C_{1,2,3}^{12,21}) \) quark loops are equal, see Eqs. (49a) and (49b). The additional contributions in the g2SC phase have equal absolute values but differ in sign. As for the particle-antiparticle quark loop, only the normal \( (C_{1,2,3}^{11,22}) \) contribution is nonvanishing.

By substituting the results in Eqs. (48) and (49) into Eq. (41), we derive the following expression for the polarization tensor \( \Pi_{11}^{\mu\nu} \):

\[
\Pi_{11}^{\mu\nu}(0) \approx \frac{4\alpha_s}{3\pi} \int d\xi k' [2(C_{1,2,3}^{11,22} - C_{1,2,3}^{12,21})u^\mu u^\nu - (C_{1,2,3}^{11,22} + 2C_{1,2,3}^{12,21})(g_{\mu\nu} - u^\mu u^\nu)] \\
\approx -\frac{4\alpha_s \bar{\mu}^2}{\delta \mu} \frac{\delta \mu}{\sqrt{\delta \mu^2 - \Delta^2}} \theta(\delta \mu - \Delta)u^\mu u^\nu.
\]

Only the 00-component of this polarization tensor is nonzero. This component determines the Debye screening mass,

\[
m_{D,11}^2 = -\Pi_{11}^{00}(0) \approx \frac{4\alpha_s \bar{\mu}^2}{\delta \mu} \frac{\delta \mu}{\sqrt{\delta \mu^2 - \Delta^2}} \theta(\delta \mu - \Delta).
\]

In the 2SC phase \( (\Delta > \delta \mu) \), where there are no gapless excitations charged with respect to the unbroken SU(2)_c subgroup, this screening mass is identically zero [29]. In contrast, it is nonzero in the g2SC phase \( (\Delta < \delta \mu) \). In fact, its value is proportional to the density of the gapless quasiparticle states.

As for the Meissner screening mass, its value vanishes in the 2SC phase as well as in the g2SC phase,

\[
m_{M,11}^2 = -\frac{1}{2} \lim_{p \to 0} \left( g_{ij} + \frac{p_i p_j}{p^2} \right) \Pi_{11}^{ij}(0, p) \approx 0.
\]

**VI. 8TH GLUON, PHOTON AND THE GLUON-PHOTON MIXING**

In the 2SC/g2SC phase, the diquark condensate breaks the gauge symmetry \( SU(3)_c \times U(1)_{em} \) down to the \( SU(2)_c \times U(1)_{em} \) subgroup. The structure of the condensate in the ground is such that the 8th gluon and the
photon mix. The new medium fields are given by the following linear combinations of the vacuum fields [46]:

\[ \tilde{A}_\mu^8 = A_\mu^8 \cos \varphi + A_\mu^8 \sin \varphi, \]  
\[ \tilde{A}_\mu = A_\mu^8 \cos \varphi - A_\mu^8 \sin \varphi, \]  

where the mixing angle \( \varphi \) is determined from the structure of the condensate by using simple group-theoretical arguments,

\[ \cos \varphi = \frac{3\alpha_s}{\sqrt{3\alpha_s + \alpha}} \]  
\[ \sin \varphi = \frac{\alpha}{\sqrt{3\alpha_s + \alpha}} \]

The generator of the medium (low-energy) electromagnetism \( \tilde{U}(1)_{\text{em}} \) is defined as follows: \( \tilde{Q} = Q - \frac{1}{2} T_8. \)

The 8th gluon can probe the Cooper-paired red and green quarks, as well as the unpaired blue quarks. After the traces over the color, the flavor, and the Nambu-Gorkov indices are performed, the polarization tensor for the 8th gluon can be expressed as

\[ \Pi_{88}^{\mu \nu}(P) = \frac{1}{3} \Pi_{88}^{\mu \nu}(P) + \frac{2}{3} \Pi_{88,b}^{\mu \nu}(P), \]  
\[ \Pi_{88}^{\mu \nu}(P) = \frac{g^2 T_F}{4} \sum_n \int \frac{d^3 k}{(2\pi)^3} \text{Tr}_{D}[\gamma^\mu G_1^+(K) \gamma^\nu G_1^+(K') + \gamma^\mu G_1^-(K) \gamma^\nu G_1^-(K') + \gamma^\mu G_2^+(K) \gamma^\nu G_2^+(K') + \gamma^\mu G_2^-(K) \gamma^\nu G_2^-(K')] + \gamma^\mu G_3^+(K) \gamma^\nu G_3^+(K') + \gamma^\mu G_3^-(K) \gamma^\nu G_3^-(K') + \gamma^\mu G_4^+(K) \gamma^\nu G_4^+(K') + \gamma^\mu G_4^-(K) \gamma^\nu G_4^-(K')] \]  
\[ \Pi_{88,b}^{\mu \nu}(P) = \frac{g^2 T_F}{4} \sum_n \int \frac{d^3 k}{(2\pi)^3} \text{Tr}_{D}[\gamma^\mu G_1^+(K) \gamma^\nu G_1^+(K') + \gamma^\mu G_1^-(K) \gamma^\nu G_1^-(K') + \gamma^\mu G_2^+(K) \gamma^\nu G_2^+(K') + \gamma^\mu G_2^-(K) \gamma^\nu G_2^-(K')] \]  

Note that the contribution \( \tilde{\Pi}_{88}^{\mu \nu} \) of paired quarks, up to a sign in front of the contribution from the abnormal quark loops, is the same as the expression for \( \Pi_{11}^{\mu \nu} \) in Eq. (40). Therefore, by following the same steps as in the previous section, we easily derive the result

\[ \Pi_{88}^{\mu \nu}(0) \approx \frac{4\alpha_s}{3\pi} \int d\mu k^2 [3(C_{+-}^{11} + C_{+-}^{12})u_\mu u_\nu - (C_{++}^{11} + 2C_{++}^{11} - C_{++}^{12}) (\gamma^\mu u_\nu - u_\mu \gamma^\nu)] + \int d\mu k^2 [3(C_{+-}^{11} + C_{+-}^{12})u_\mu u_\nu - (C_{++}^{11} + 2C_{++}^{11} - C_{++}^{12}) (\gamma^\mu u_\nu - u_\mu \gamma^\nu)] \]

[cf. Eq. (50)]. The unpaired blue quarks give the standard normal phase HDL contribution \( \Pi_{88,b}^{\mu \nu}(P) = \Pi_{88,b}^{\mu \nu}(P) \), see Eq. (36). In the static \( (p_0 = 0) \) long-wavelength \( (p \to 0) \) limit, this leads to the following result:

\[ \Pi_{88,b}^{\mu \nu}(0) = -\frac{4\alpha_s}{\pi} \frac{\mu^2}{u^\mu u_\nu}. \]  

Thus, the final result for the polarization tensor of the 8th gluon reads

\[ \Pi_{88}^{\mu \nu}(0) \approx -\frac{4\alpha_s}{\pi} \frac{\mu^2}{u^\mu u_\nu} - \frac{4\alpha_s}{9\pi} \left[ \frac{1}{2} \frac{\delta \mu \theta (\delta \mu - \Delta)}{\sqrt{\delta \mu^2 - \Delta^2}} \right] \times (g^\mu u_\nu - u^\mu g_\nu). \]  

Because of the symmetry considerations, one should expect a nontrivial gluon-photon mixing in the ground state. So, we proceed to the calculation of the photon polarization tensor. The general expression for this tensor is
To calculate the contribution of the paired quarks given by

\begin{equation}
\Pi_{99}^{\mu\nu}(P) = \frac{1}{2} \Pi_{99}^{\mu\nu}(P) + \frac{1}{2} \Pi_{99,b}^{\mu\nu}(P),
\end{equation}

performing the Matsubara frequency summation, we obtain the following representation:

\begin{equation}
\Pi_{99}^{\mu\nu}(P) = \frac{e^2 T}{9} \sum \frac{d^3 k}{(2\pi)^3} \text{Tr}_D \left[ 4\left[ \gamma^\mu G_1^+(K) \gamma^\nu G_1^+(K') + \gamma^\mu G_1^-(K) \gamma^\nu G_1^-(K') \right] + \gamma^\mu G_2^+(K) \gamma^\nu G_2^+(K') \\
+ \gamma^\mu G_2^-(K) \gamma^\nu G_2^-(K') + 2[\gamma^\mu \Xi_{12}(K) \gamma^\nu \Xi_{12}(K') + \gamma^\mu \Xi_{12}(K) \gamma^\nu \Xi_{12}(K') + 2[\gamma^\mu \Xi_{23}(K) \gamma^\nu \Xi_{23}(K') + \gamma^\mu \Xi_{23}(K) \gamma^\nu \Xi_{23}(K')]
\right],
\end{equation}

\begin{equation}
\Pi_{99,b}^{\mu\nu}(P) = \frac{e^2 T}{9} \sum \frac{d^3 k}{(2\pi)^3} \text{Tr}_D \left[ 4\left[ \gamma^\mu G_3^+(K) \gamma^\nu G_3^+(K') + \gamma^\mu G_3^-(K) \gamma^\nu G_3^-(K') \right] + \gamma^\mu G_4^+(K) \gamma^\nu G_4^+(K') \\
+ \gamma^\mu G_4^-(K) \gamma^\nu G_4^-(K') \right].
\end{equation}

Let us first start with the contribution of the unpaired blue quarks \(\Pi_{99,b}^{\mu\nu}(P)\). This is proportional to the standard HDL result, \(\Pi_{99,b}^{\mu\nu}(P) = (10\alpha/9\alpha_s)\Pi_{HDL}^{\mu\nu}(P)\) where \(\alpha = e^2/4\pi\) is the fine structure constant and \(\Pi_{HDL}^{\mu\nu}(P)\) is given in Eq. (36). At \(p_0 = 0\) and \(p \to 0\), we arrive at

\begin{equation}
\Pi_{99,b}^{\mu\nu}(0) = -\frac{40\alpha}{9\pi} \tilde{\mu}^2 u^\mu u^\nu.
\end{equation}

To calculate the contribution of the paired quarks \(\Pi_{99}^{\mu\nu}(P)\), we use the same approach as in the previous section. After performing the Matsubara frequency summation, we obtain the following representation:

\begin{equation}
\Pi_{99}^{\mu\nu}(P) = \frac{8\pi\alpha}{9} \int \frac{d^3 k}{(2\pi)^3} (4C_{11}^{11} + C_{22}^{22}) T_{++}^{\mu\nu} + (4C_{11}^{11} + C_{22}^{22}) T_{-+}^{\mu\nu} + (4C_{11}^{11} + C_{22}^{22}) T_{++}^{\mu\nu} \\
+ (4C_{11}^{11} + C_{22}^{22}) T_{-+}^{\mu\nu} + 2(C_{11}^{11} + C_{22}^{22}) \mathcal{U}_{++}^{\mu\nu} + 2(C_{11}^{11} + C_{22}^{22}) \mathcal{U}_{-+}^{\mu\nu} + 2(C_{11}^{11} + C_{22}^{22}) \mathcal{U}_{++}^{\mu\nu} \\
+ 2(C_{11}^{11} + C_{22}^{22}) \mathcal{U}_{-+}^{\mu\nu}.
\end{equation}

By substituting the results in Eqs. (48) and (49) into Eq. (61), we derive the following expression for the polarization tensor \(\Pi_{99}^{\mu\nu}\) in the static long-wavelength limit:

\begin{equation}
\Pi_{99}^{\mu\nu}(0) \approx \frac{16\alpha}{27\pi} \int dk k \left[ 3(5C_{11}^{11} - 4C_{12}^{12}) u^\mu u^\nu - (5C_{11}^{11} + 10C_{12}^{12} + 4C_{12}^{12})(g^{\mu\nu} - u^\mu u^\nu) \right] \\
\approx -\frac{8\alpha \tilde{\mu}^2}{9\pi} \left[ 1 + \frac{9\delta \mu \theta(\delta \mu - \Delta)}{\sqrt{\delta \mu^2 - \Delta^2}} \right] u^\mu u^\nu - \frac{8\alpha \tilde{\mu}^2}{27\pi} \left[ 1 - \frac{\delta \mu \theta(\delta \mu - \Delta)}{\sqrt{\delta \mu^2 - \Delta^2}} \right] (g^{\mu\nu} - u^\mu u^\nu).
\end{equation}

By combining the results in Eqs. (60) and (62), we obtain the expression for the photon polarization tensor

\begin{equation}
\Pi_{99}^{\mu\nu}(0) \approx -\frac{8\alpha \tilde{\mu}^2}{3\pi} \left[ 1 + \frac{3\delta \mu \theta(\delta \mu - \Delta)}{2\sqrt{\delta \mu^2 - \Delta^2}} \right] u^\mu u^\nu - \frac{4\alpha \tilde{\mu}^2}{27\pi} \left[ 1 - \frac{\delta \mu \theta(\delta \mu - \Delta)}{\sqrt{\delta \mu^2 - \Delta^2}} \right] (g^{\mu\nu} - u^\mu u^\nu).
\end{equation}

Now, we calculate the gluon-photon mixed components of the polarization tensor. The corresponding expression is given by
\[ \Pi^{\mu\nu}(P) = \Pi^{\mu\nu}(P) = \frac{1}{2} \Pi^{\mu\nu}(P) + \frac{1}{2} \Pi^{\mu\nu}(P), \]  

\[ \Pi^{\mu\nu}(P) = \frac{g^T}{3\sqrt{3}} \sum_n \int d^3k \text{Tr}_D \left[ \gamma^\mu G_1(k) \gamma^\nu G_1(k') + \gamma^\mu G_2(k) \gamma^\nu G_2(k') - \gamma^\mu G_3(k) \gamma^\nu G_3(k') + \gamma^\mu G_4(k) \gamma^\nu G_4(k') \right] 
\]  

\[ - \gamma^\mu \Xi_{12}^+(k) \gamma^\nu \Xi_{12}^+(k') + \gamma^\mu \Xi_{12}^+(k) \gamma^\nu \Xi_{12}^+(k') + \gamma^\mu \Xi_{12}^+(k) \gamma^\nu \Xi_{12}^+(k') - 2[\gamma^\mu \Xi_{12}^+(k) \gamma^\nu \Xi_{12}^+(k') + \gamma^\mu \Xi_{12}^+(k) \gamma^\nu \Xi_{12}^+(k') + \gamma^\mu \Xi_{12}^+(k) \gamma^\nu \Xi_{12}^+(k')], \]  

\[ \Pi^{\mu\nu}(P) = \frac{g^T}{3\sqrt{3}} \sum_n \int d^3k \text{Tr}_D \left[ -2[\gamma^\mu G_3^+(k) \gamma^\nu G_3^+(k') + \gamma^\mu G_3^+(k) \gamma^\nu G_3^-(k')] + \gamma^\mu G_4^+(k) \gamma^\nu G_4^+(k') \right] 
\]  

\[ + \gamma^\mu G_4^+(k) \gamma^\nu G_4^-(k'). \]  

The contribution of the unpaired blue quarks is proportional to the HDL expression,  

\[ \Pi^{\mu\nu}_{89,b}(P) = -\frac{2\alpha}{3\sqrt{3}}\Pi^{\mu\nu}_{\text{HDL}}(P), \]  

with \( \Pi^{\mu\nu}_{\text{HDL}}(P) \) defined in Eq. (36). At \( p_0 = 0 \) and \( p \to 0 \), we obtain  

\[ \Pi^{\mu\nu}_{89,b}(0) = \frac{8\sqrt{\alpha\alpha_s}}{3\sqrt{3}\pi} \mu^2 u^\mu u^\nu. \]  

To calculate the contribution of the paired quarks, \( \Pi^{\mu\nu}_{89} \), we first perform the Matsubara frequency summation. The result is  

\[ \Pi^{\mu\nu}_{89}(P) = \frac{4\pi\sqrt{\alpha\alpha_s}}{9\sqrt{3}} \int \frac{d^3k}{(2\pi)^3} \left[ (2C_{++}^{11} - C_{++}^{22})T^{\mu\nu} - (2C_{++}^{11} - C_{++}^{22})T^{\mu\nu} + (2C_{++}^{11} - C_{++}^{22})T^{\mu\nu} \right] 
\]  

\[ + (2C_{++}^{11} - C_{++}^{22})U^{\mu\nu} + (2C_{++}^{11} - C_{++}^{22})U^{\mu\nu} + (2C_{++}^{11} - C_{++}^{22})U^{\mu\nu} \]  

\[ + (2C_{++}^{11} - C_{++}^{22})U^{\mu\nu}. \]  

By substituting the results in Eqs. (48) and (49) into Eq. (67), we get the following expression for the polarization tensor \( \Pi^{\mu\nu}_{89} \) in the static long-wavelength limit:  

\[ \Pi^{\mu\nu}_{89}(0) \approx \frac{8\sqrt{\alpha\alpha_s}}{9\sqrt{3}} \mu^2 u^\mu u^\nu \]  

\[ - (C_{++}^{11} + 2C_{++}^{11} - C_{++}^{12}) \left( g^{\mu\nu} - u^\mu u^\nu \right) \]  

\[ \approx - \frac{8\sqrt{\alpha\alpha_s}}{3\sqrt{3}\pi} \mu^2 u^\mu u^\nu - \frac{8\sqrt{\alpha\alpha_s}}{3\sqrt{3}\pi} \mu^2 u^\mu u^\nu \]  

\[ \times \left[ 1 - \frac{\delta\mu \theta(\delta\mu - \Delta)}{\sqrt{\delta\mu^2 - \Delta^2}} \right] (g^{\mu\nu} - u^\mu u^\nu). \]  

[Ref. [30]. (Because of this, one should be careful when interpreting the results for the Debye screening masses in a different basis of gauge fields [47].) The expressions for the Debye screening masses read  

\[ m_{D,88}^2 = \frac{4\alpha_s \mu^2}{\pi}, \]  

\[ m_{D,\gamma\gamma}^2 = \frac{8\alpha_s \mu^2}{3\pi} \left( 1 + \frac{3\delta\mu \theta(\delta\mu - \Delta)}{2\sqrt{\delta\mu^2 - \Delta^2}} \right). \]  

In order to obtain the Meissner screening masses, we first derive all components of the polarization tensor that span the space of the 8th gluon and the photon. At \( p_0 = 0 \) and \( p \to 0 \), the corresponding nonzero components, denoted as \( m_{8,AB}^2 \), read  

\[ m_{8,88}^2 = \frac{4\alpha_s \mu^2}{9\pi} \left( 1 - \frac{\delta\mu \theta(\delta\mu - \Delta)}{\sqrt{\delta\mu^2 - \Delta^2}} \right). \]  

\[ m_{8,\gamma\gamma}^2 = \frac{4\alpha_s \mu^2}{27\pi} \left( 1 - \frac{\delta\mu \theta(\delta\mu - \Delta)}{\sqrt{\delta\mu^2 - \Delta^2}} \right). \]  

\[ m_{8,\gamma\gamma}^2 = \frac{4\sqrt{\alpha\alpha_s}}{9\sqrt{3}\pi} \left( 1 - \frac{\delta\mu \theta(\delta\mu - \Delta)}{\sqrt{\delta\mu^2 - \Delta^2}} \right). \]  

and \( m_{8,88}^2 = m_{8,\gamma\gamma}^2 \). The mixing disappears in the basis of the fields in Eq. (53). The Meissner screening mass for
the 8th gluon field is
\[ m_{\gamma8}^2 = \frac{4(3\alpha_s + \alpha)\bar{\mu}^2}{27\pi} \left( 1 - \delta\mu\theta(\delta\mu - \Delta) \right), \] (72)
and the Meissner screening mass for the medium photon is vanishing, \( m_{\gamma8}^2 = 0 \). This is consistent with the absence of the Meissner effect for the unbroken \( \hat{U}(1)_{\text{em}} \).

As is easy to see from Eq. (72), the medium modified 8th gluon has a chromomagnetic instability in the gapless 2SC phase. This is because the Meissner screening mass squared is negative when \( 0 < \Delta/\delta\mu < 1 \).

VII. GLUONS WITH \( A = 4, 5, 6, 7 \)

After performing the traces over the color, the flavor, and the Nambu-Gorkov indices, the diagonal components of the polarization tensor \( \Pi_{AB}^\mu(P) \) with \( A = B = 4, 5, 6, 7 \) have the form

\[
\hat{\Pi}_{44}^\mu(P) = \frac{g^2T}{8} \int \frac{d^3k}{(2\pi)^3} \text{Tr}_D[\gamma^\mu G_1^+(K)\gamma^\nu G_1^+(K') - \gamma^\mu G_1^+(K)\gamma^\nu G_3^+(K') + \gamma^\mu G_4^+(K)\gamma^\nu G_2^+(K') \\
- \gamma^\mu G_2^+(K)\gamma^\nu G_4^+(K') - \gamma^\mu G_3^+(K)\gamma^\nu G_1^+(K') + \gamma^\mu G_4^+(K)\gamma^\nu G_2^+(K') \\
+ \gamma^\mu G_2^+(K)\gamma^\nu G_4^+(K')],
\]
(74a)

The off-diagonal components of the gluon self-energy in Eq. (74) are nonzero in general. The physical gluon fields in the 2SC/g2SC phase are the following linear combinations of the off-diagonal components, describe two pairs of massive vector particles with well defined electromagnetic charges, \( \hat{Q} = \pm 1 \). The components of the polarization tensor in the new basis read

\[
\hat{\Pi}_{44}^\mu(P) = \hat{\Pi}_{66}^\mu(P) = \Pi_{44}^\mu(P) + \hat{\Pi}_{44}^\mu(P) \\
= \frac{g^2T}{4} \int \frac{d^3k}{(2\pi)^3} \text{Tr}_D[\gamma^\mu G_1^+(K)\gamma^\nu G_1^+(K') + \gamma^\mu G_1^+(K)\gamma^\nu G_3^+(K') + \gamma^\mu G_4^+(K)\gamma^\nu G_2^+(K') \\
+ \gamma^\mu G_2^+(K)\gamma^\nu G_4^+(K')],
\]
(76a)

and

\[
\hat{\Pi}_{55}^\mu(P) = \hat{\Pi}_{77}^\mu(P) = \Pi_{44}^\mu(P) - \hat{\Pi}_{44}^\mu(P) \\
= \frac{g^2T}{4} \int \frac{d^3k}{(2\pi)^3} \text{Tr}_D[\gamma^\mu G_1^+(K)\gamma^\nu G_3^+(K') + \gamma^\mu G_3^+(K)\gamma^\nu G_1^+(K') + \gamma^\mu G_2^+(K)\gamma^\nu G_4^+(K') \\
+ \gamma^\mu G_4^+(K)\gamma^\nu G_2^+(K')].
\]
(76b)

After Matsubara frequency summation, the polarization tensors can be written in the following form:

\[
\hat{\Pi}_{44}^\mu(P) = \pi\alpha_s \int \frac{d^3k}{(2\pi)^3} [C_{44}^{44} T_{44}^{44} + C_{44}^{44} T_{44}^{44} + C_{44}^{44} T_{44}^{44} + C_{44}^{44} T_{44}^{44}],
\]
(77a)

\[
\hat{\Pi}_{55}^\mu(P) = \pi\alpha_s \int \frac{d^3k}{(2\pi)^3} [C_{55}^{55} T_{55}^{55} + C_{55}^{55} T_{55}^{55} + C_{55}^{55} T_{55}^{55} + C_{55}^{55} T_{55}^{55}],
\]
(77b)

The explicit expressions for the coefficient functions \( C_{44,55}^{44,55} \) at zero and nonzero temperatures are given in Appendix B 2. Here we quote only the approximate zero temperature results at \( p_0 = 0 \) and \( p \to 0 \). The particle-hole contributions are

\[
C_{44,55}^{44,55} \approx -\frac{1}{E_{\Delta,k}^+ E_{\Delta,k}^-} - \theta(-E_{b,k}^+) + \theta(-E_{b,k}^-) \frac{E_{b,k}^- + E_{b,k}^+}{(E_{b,k}^-)^2 - (E_{b,k}^+)^2} + \theta(E_{b,k}^- + \delta\mu) \frac{(E_{b,k}^-)^2 + E_{b,k}^- E_{b,k}^+}{(E_{b,k}^-)^2 - (E_{b,k}^+)^2}.
\]
Therefore, the corresponding Debye and Meissner screening masses are
\[ m_{D,44}^2 = m_{M,55}^2 = \frac{2\alpha_s\mu^2}{\pi} \left[ \Delta^2 + 2(\delta\mu)^2 - 2 \frac{\Delta \sqrt{\mu^2 - \Delta^2}}{\Delta} \theta(\delta\mu - \Delta) \right], \]
\[ m_{D,55}^2 = m_{M,44}^2 = \frac{2\alpha_s\mu^2}{3\pi} \left[ \Delta^2 + 2(\delta\mu)^2 + 2 \frac{\Delta \sqrt{\mu^2 - \Delta^2}}{\Delta} \theta(\delta\mu - \Delta) \right]. \]

It is clear from Eq. (83b) that the corresponding four gluons have chromomagnetic (plasma-type) instabilities in the gapless 2SC phase \(0 < \Delta / \delta\mu < 1\), as well as in the gapped 2SC phase when \(1 < \Delta / \delta\mu < \sqrt{2}\). This is indicated by a negative value of the Meissner screening mass squared.

**VIII. DISCUSSION**

The results of our calculation for the screening masses in neutral two-flavor quark matter are summarized in Table I. There we list the squared values of the Debye and Meissner screening masses in units of \(m_g^2 = 4\alpha_s\mu^2 / 3\pi\).
TABLE I. The Debye and Meissner screening masses for gauge bosons in neutral two-flavor quark matter. By definition, $m_0^2 = 4\alpha_s\mu^2/3\pi$.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>$x$</th>
<th>$m_{D,x}^2/m_0^2$</th>
<th>$m_{M,x}^2/m_0^2$</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>(11), (22), (33)</td>
<td>$3\frac{\beta \mu}{\sqrt{\mu^2 - \Delta^2}} \theta(\Delta - \mu)$</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(44), (55), (66), (77)</td>
<td>$3 \Delta^2 + 2\mu^2 - 3 \frac{\beta \mu}{\sqrt{\mu^2 - \Delta^2}} \theta(\Delta - \mu)$</td>
<td>$\Delta^2 - 2\mu^2 + \frac{\beta \mu}{\sqrt{\mu^2 - \Delta^2}} \theta(\Delta - \mu)$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(88)</td>
<td>$\frac{3}{\sqrt{\alpha_s}}(1 - \frac{\Delta \mu(\Delta - \mu)}{\sqrt{(\mu^2 - \Delta^2)^2}})$</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$(\gamma \gamma)$</td>
<td>$\frac{2\alpha}{\alpha_s} \left(1 + \frac{3\beta \mu(\Delta - \mu)}{2\sqrt{\mu^2 - \Delta^2}}\right)$</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$(\gamma \bar{\gamma})$</td>
<td>$\frac{3\alpha_{\gamma \bar{\gamma}}}{3\alpha_s + \alpha} \left[1 - \frac{2\alpha}{\alpha_s} \left(1 + \frac{3\beta \mu(\Delta - \mu)}{2\sqrt{\mu^2 - \Delta^2}}\right)\right]$</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$(\bar{\gamma} \gamma)$</td>
<td>$\frac{3\alpha_{\gamma \bar{\gamma}}}{3\alpha_s + \alpha} \left[1 + \frac{3\beta \mu(\Delta - \mu)}{2\sqrt{\mu^2 - \Delta^2}}\right]$</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

A. Debye screening masses

Let us first discuss the results for the Debye screening masses. The values of $m_{D,x}^2/m_0^2$ with $x = (11), (44), (88)$ as functions of the dimensionless ratio $\Delta/\delta \mu$ are shown graphically in Fig. 1 (we do not show the Debye screening mass for the photon whose value is suppressed by the fine structure constant). The vanishing value of the ratio $\Delta/\delta \mu$ corresponds to the normal phase of quark matter. In this limit, as it is easy to check, our results for the Debye screening masses coincide with the known results in Refs. [44,45]. Also, in the other limiting case, $\Delta/\delta \mu = \infty$, our results coincide with those in the ideal 2SC phase [29,30]. As before, by the ideal 2SC phase of quark matter, we mean the 2SC phase without any mismatch between the Fermi momenta of the up and down quarks, i.e., $\delta \mu = 0$.

We see that the value of the Debye screening mass for the gluons of the unbroken SU(2)$_c$ gauge subgroup is vanishing in the 2SC phase ($\Delta/\delta \mu > 1$). This result can be understood in the same way as in the ideal 2SC case [29]. It reflects the fact that there are no gapless quasiparticles charged with respect to the SU(2)$_c$ subgroup in the ground state. In the g2SC phase, on the other hand, there are gapless charged quasiparticles around the two “effective” Fermi momenta $\mu_{g2SC} \equiv \mu_{2SC} \pm \sqrt{(\delta \mu)^2 - \Delta^2}$ [11,14]. The densities of states at the corresponding Fermi surfaces are [14]

$$\frac{dN}{dE} \bigg|_{p=\mu^\pm} = \frac{2\delta \mu(\mu^\pm)^2}{\sqrt{(\delta \mu)^2 - \Delta^2}}. \quad (84)$$

As one can check from Table 1, the squared value of the Debye screening mass $m_{D,11}^2$ is proportional to the sum of these densities of the gapless states (up to higher order corrections). Turning these arguments around, one could obtain the result for the Debye screening mass without calculating the polarization tensor. Indeed, one can write [31]

$$m_{D,11}^2 \sim \frac{dN}{dE} \bigg|_{p=\mu^+} + \frac{dN}{dE} \bigg|_{p=\mu^-}, \quad (85)$$

and determine the overall coefficient $\alpha_{\mu}/\pi$ by matching the expression on the right-hand side with the known expression in the normal phase ($\Delta/\delta \mu = 0$).

It is interesting to consider $m_{D,11}^2$ in the limit when the gap $\Delta$ approaches $\delta \mu$ from the side of the gapless phase. As one can see, the formal value of $m_{D,11}^2$ goes to infinity as $\alpha \mu^2/\sqrt{1 - (\delta \mu)^2}$ when $\Delta \to \delta \mu - 0$. This is the
consequence of having a quadratic dispersion relation for
gapless quasiparticles \( E_\pm \approx (p - \mu)^2 / 2\Delta \) when \( \Delta \to \delta \mu \). However, the infinitely large value of the Debye mass has little physical meaning. This is because the distance scales, at which the corresponding screening is set in, also become infinite in the limit \( \Delta \to \delta \mu \).

From Table I, we see that there is no mixing between the Debye screening masses of the 8th gluon and of the vacuum photon. It is known, however, that the physical modes of the corresponding gauge bosons in the 2SC/g2SC phase are the linear combinations given in Eq. (53) which are different from the vacuum fields. Moreover, one of the linear combinations, see Eq. (53b), describes the medium modified photon of the unbroken electromagnetism. One might think that the absence of mixing between the electric screening masses is in conflict with the gauge invariance of the 2SC/g2SC ground state with respect to \( U(1)_{em} \). However, the two propagating modes of the low-energy photon \( \gamma \) of \( U(1)_{em} \) have transverse polarizations and, therefore, should come from the magnetic sector. The third, electrical mode of \( \gamma \) is not massless. This latter decouples from the low-energy theory and its presence is irrelevant for the gauge invariance with respect to \( U(1)_{em} \).

B. Meissner screening masses

Now we discuss the results for the Meissner screening masses. The values of \( m_{g,M}^2 / m_\gamma^2 \) with \( x = (11), (44), (88) \) as functions of the dimensionless ratio \( \Delta / \delta \mu \) are shown graphically in Fig. 2 (the screening mass for the photon, suppressed by the fine structure constant, is not shown). As it should be, the results in the two limiting cases, i.e., \( \Delta / \delta \mu = 0 \) and \( \Delta / \delta \mu = \infty \), coincide with the results in the normal phase (i.e., no Meissner effect) and with the results in the ideal 2SC phase [29,30], respectively.

In agreement with the group-theoretical arguments, the Meissner masses of the three gluons of the unbroken SU(2)\(_c\) subgroup are vanishing in both the gapless and the gapped 2SC phases, see the solid line in Fig. 2. The vanishing Meissner masses come as a result of the exact cancellation between the diamagnetic particle-antiparticle and the paramagnetic particle-hole contributions.

The results in Table I show that the Meissner screening masses in the subspace of the 8th gluon and the vacuum photon have a nontrivial mixing. As we saw in Sec. VI, the mixing disappears after switching to the description in terms of the physical modes, defined in Eq. (53). Moreover, the Meissner screening mass of the medium photon is vanishing. This is consistent with the absence of the Meissner effect for the unbroken electromagnetism.

The most interesting results of our calculation are the expressions for the Meissner screening masses of the five gluons that correspond to the broken generators of the SU(3)\(_c\) group. We find that the squared values of these masses are negative (i.e., the masses themselves are imaginary) in some regions of parameters. In particular, we obtain \( m_{M,8}^2 < 0 \) when \( x = (44), (55), (66), (77) \) when \( 0 < \Delta / \delta \mu < \sqrt{2} \), and \( m_{M,8}^2 < 0 \) when \( 0 < \Delta / \delta \mu < 1 \).

The standard interpretation of such a result is the existence of a chromomagnetic (plasma-type) instability in the corresponding phases of matter [28].

While the instability connected with the 8th gluon appears only in the g2SC phase \( (0 < \Delta / \delta \mu < 1) \), the instability connected with the other four gluons also develops in the gapped 2SC phase when \( 1 < \Delta / \delta \mu < \sqrt{2} \). This suggests that the gapless superconductivity itself is not the reason for the instability. It is interesting to note, however, that the critical value of the mismatch, above which the instability starts to develop, is given by \( \delta \mu_c = \Delta / \sqrt{2} \). This happens to coincide with the value of the mismatch at which the 2SC phase becomes metastable when the neutrality condition is not enforced in quark matter (i.e., when the value of \( \delta \mu \) is treated as a free parameter and the Coulomb effects are ignored). Here, on the other hand, we consider neutral quark matter in the same way as in Refs. [11,14].

It is natural to suggest that the instability, indicated by negative values of the Meissner screening masses squared, may result in some type of a gluon condensation. Indeed, in terms of the gluon effective potential, the appearance of a negative gluon mass squared could be viewed as considering a false vacuum that corresponds to a local maximum of the potential at \( \langle A_\mu^a \rangle = 0 \). In this case, the true vacuum should be given by the global minimum of the gluon potential. It would be natural then if the minimum corresponds to another (nonzero) expectation value of the gluon field, i.e., \( \langle A_\mu^a \rangle \neq 0 \) for \( a \in (4, 5, 6, 7) \), or for \( a = 8 \).

Note that we do not exclude the possibility that the new stable ground state has a condensate that breaks the rotational symmetry of the system. In fact, this might be the
most natural outcome of the gluon condensation if its mechanism is the same, e.g., as in Ref. [48,49]. The possibility of breaking the rotational symmetry is also suggested by the fact that it is the magnetic components of gluons $A^q_{\mu}$ rather than the electric gluons $A^0_{\mu}$ that drive the instability. The expectation that the rotational symmetry is broken in the ground state may also hint at the possibility of a state with deformed quark Fermi surfaces which was proposed in Ref. [50].

It may happen that not only the rotational symmetry but also the translational symmetry is broken in the true ground state of neutral two-flavor quark matter. This would be the case when the diquark condensate is inhomogeneous like, for example, in the crystalline phase [51], or like in the Abrikosov’s vortex lattice phase [52]. Regarding the crystalline phase (also known as the Larkin-Ovchinnikov-Fulde-Ferrell phase [53]) in two-flavor quark matter, it might be appropriate to mention that this phase is claimed to appear precisely when $\Delta/\delta \mu < \sqrt{2}$. It is not clear, however, how a first order transition from the 2SC phase to the crystalline phase at $\Delta/\delta \mu = \sqrt{2}$ can be triggered by vanishingly small tachyonic masses of gluons.

We would like to emphasize here that the gluon-type instability, indicated by negative values of the Meissner screening masses squared, has nothing to do (at least, directly) with the so-called Sarma instability [54]. It was shown for the first time in Ref. [11], and then confirmed in Refs. [12–19] that the Sarma instability in the effective potential for the order parameter is removed when the neutrality condition is imposed, or when the pairing interaction has a specific momentum structure and the ratio of the densities of the pairing fermions is kept fixed [25]. One may still argue that, despite the absence of the Sarma instability in the effective potential, there exists another type of an instability, discussed in Ref. [55]. There it was suggested that paramagnetic currents should be spontaneously induced in the gapless phase. In fact, we think that the instability connected with the 8th gluon in the g2SC phase could indeed be of this type.

One may find some similarity between the chromomagnetic instability found in this paper and the instabilities that have been discussed in Refs. [56–60] in application to a state of matter produced in heavy ion collisions. It should be emphasized, however, that the quark distribution functions are completely isotropic in momentum space in the case of dense quark matter studied in this paper which is in contrast to a typical situation in Ref. [56–60]. This fact suggests that the mechanism of the instability seen here is more subtle.

In passing, we would like to mention that there might exist some analogy between the instability found in this paper and the so-called paramagnetic superconductivity discussed in condensed matter physics [61]. If these phenomena are related indeed, it would be natural to expect that the instability of neutral dense quark matter is resolved through a spontaneous chromomagnetization. Again, the breakdown of the rotational symmetry in this case would seem inevitable.

IX. CONCLUSION

In this paper, we calculated the Debye and Meissner screening masses for the gluons and the photon in the case of neutral, $\beta$-equilibrated two-flavor dense quark matter. A general form of our result allows to use it in the gapped phase as well as in the gapless color superconducting phase by a simple change of the magnitude of the diquark pairing. This latter determines the ratio of the gap parameter and the mismatch of the quark Fermi momenta, i.e., $\Delta/\delta \mu$. The qualitative dependence of this ratio on the diquark coupling constant in the case of neutral quark matter was studied in Refs. [11,14]. It was shown that the ground state corresponds to the normal phase at weak coupling, i.e., $\Delta/\delta \mu = 0$. At some intermediate values of the diquark coupling, the ground state is the g2SC phase. The ratio $\Delta/\delta \mu$ is less than 1 in such a gapless phase. Finally, at large coupling, the ground state is the 2SC phase, and the ratio $\Delta/\delta \mu$ is larger than 1. One could also check that this ratio $\Delta/\delta \mu$ increases monotonically with increasing the coupling.

The results for the Debye and the Meissner screening masses in this paper give a natural interpolation between the known values in the normal phase [44,45] and in the ideal 2SC phase [29,30]. The most important result of our calculation is that the expressions for the Meissner screening masses of the five gluons, corresponding to the five broken generators of the SU(3)$_c$ group, are imaginary. This is interpreted as an indication of a chromomagnetic instability in the corresponding phases of quark matter. It remains to be clarified the consequences of such an instability, and the nature of the true ground state in neutral two-flavor quark matter.

In the future, it would be very interesting to investigate whether a chromomagnetic instability also develops in the gCFL phase [16,17,19], where the low-energy quasiparticle spectrum resembles the spectrum in the g2SC phase.
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**APPENDIX A: CALCULATION OF DIRAC TRACES**

Here we calculate the traces defined in Eq. (42). By neglecting corrections of order $p^2/\mu^2$, we derive the following results:

$${\cal T}_{\mp \mp}^{\mu \nu} = \text{tr}[\gamma^\mu \gamma^0 \Lambda_k^{(\pm)} \gamma^\nu \gamma^0 \Lambda_{k'}^{(\mp)}]$$

$$\approx 2\left(\frac{u^\mu u^\nu}{k^2} + \frac{k^\mu k^\nu}{k^2}\right),$$

(A1)

$${\cal T}_{\mp \mp}^{\mu \nu} = \text{tr}[\gamma^\mu \gamma^0 \Lambda_k^{(\pm)} \gamma^\nu \gamma^0 \Lambda_{k'}^{(\mp)}]$$

$$\approx -2\left(\frac{g^{\mu \nu} - u^\mu u^\nu}{k^2} + \frac{k^\mu k^\nu}{k^2}\right),$$

(A2)

$${\cal U}_{\pm \mp}^{\mu \nu} = \text{tr}[\gamma^\mu \gamma^0 \Lambda_k^{(\pm)} \gamma^\nu \gamma^0 \Lambda_{k'}^{(\mp)}]$$

$$\approx -2\left(\frac{u^\mu u^\nu}{k^2} + \frac{k^\mu k^\nu}{k^2}\right),$$

(A3)

$${\cal U}_{\pm \mp}^{\mu \nu} = \text{tr}[\gamma^\mu \gamma^0 \Lambda_k^{(\pm)} \gamma^\nu \gamma^0 \Lambda_{k'}^{(\mp)}]$$

$$\approx -2\left(\frac{u^\mu u^\nu}{k^2} + \frac{k^\mu k^\nu}{k^2}\right),$$

(A4)

where $k' \equiv k - p$ and $u^\mu = (1, 0, 0, 0)$. In calculation of the polarization tensor, we also encounter the following type angular integrals:

$$\int \frac{d\phi}{2\pi} \frac{k^\mu}{k} f(k, p, \xi) = \xi \frac{p^\mu}{p} f(k, p, \xi),$$

(A5)

$$\int \frac{d\phi}{2\pi} \frac{k^\mu k^\nu}{k^2} f(k, p, \xi) = -\frac{1}{2} f(k, p, \xi)$$

$$\times \left[(1 - \xi^2)(g^{\mu \nu} - u^\mu u^\nu) + (1 - 3\xi^2)\frac{p^\mu p^\nu}{p^2}\right].$$

(A6)

where $\xi$ is the cosine of the angle between the vectors $k$ and $p$. These relations are used in Eq. (44).

**APPENDIX B: COEFFICIENT FUNCTIONS USED IN CALCULATION OF POLARIZATION TENSOR**

1. The coefficient functions for $\Pi_{\mu \nu}^{AB}$

with $A, B = 1, 2, 3, 8, 9$

As we saw in the main part of the paper, see Eqs. (41), (61), and (67), all components of the polarization tensor $\Pi_{\mu \nu}^{AB}$ with $A, B = 1, 2, 3, 8, 9$ were given in terms of the following coefficient functions (here and below, $\alpha = 1$ for $C_{el}^{11}$ and $C_{el}^{12}$, while $\alpha = -1$ for $C_{el}^{22}$, and $C_{e_2}^{11}$, and $C_{e_2}^{22}$):

$$C_{11,22}^{11,22} = \sum_{A, B} \lambda \left[\tilde{f}(\lambda E_{\Delta k}^+ + \alpha \delta \mu) \frac{\lambda E_{\Delta k}^+ - E_k^+}{2E_{\Delta k}^+} - \frac{p_0 + \lambda E_{\Delta k}^+ - E_k^+}{(p_0 + \lambda E_{\Delta k}^+ - E_k^+)^2 - (E_{\Delta k}^+)^2} \right]$$

$$+ \tilde{f}(\lambda E_{\Delta k'}^- + \alpha \delta \mu) \frac{\lambda E_{\Delta k'}^- - E_k^-}{2E_{\Delta k'}^-} - \frac{p_0 + \lambda E_{\Delta k'}^- - E_k^-}{(p_0 + \lambda E_{\Delta k'}^- - E_k^-)^2 - (E_{\Delta k'}^-)^2} \right]$$

(B1)

$$C_{11,22}^{11,22} = \sum_{A, B} \lambda \left[\tilde{f}(\lambda E_{\Delta k}^+ + \alpha \delta \mu) \frac{\lambda E_{\Delta k}^+ + E_k^+}{2E_{\Delta k}^+} - \frac{p_0 + \lambda E_{\Delta k}^+ + E_k^+}{(p_0 + \lambda E_{\Delta k}^+ + E_k^+)^2 - (E_{\Delta k}^+)^2} \right]$$

$$+ \tilde{f}(\lambda E_{\Delta k'}^- + \alpha \delta \mu) \frac{\lambda E_{\Delta k'}^- + E_k^-}{2E_{\Delta k'}^-} - \frac{p_0 + \lambda E_{\Delta k'}^- + E_k^-}{(p_0 + \lambda E_{\Delta k'}^- + E_k^-)^2 - (E_{\Delta k'}^-)^2} \right]$$

(B2)
\[ C_{11,22}^{1+} = \sum_{\Delta = \pm} \left[ \tilde{f}(\lambda E_{\Delta k}^{+} + \alpha \delta \mu) \frac{\lambda E_{\Delta k}^{+} - E_{k}^{+}}{2E_{\Delta k}^{+}} - p_{0} + \lambda E_{\Delta k}^{+} + E_{k}^{+} \right] \frac{(p_{0} + \lambda E_{\Delta k}^{+})(p_{0} + \lambda E_{\Delta k}^{+})}{(p_{0} + \lambda E_{\Delta k}^{+})^{2} - (E_{\Delta k}^{+})^{2}} + \tilde{f}(\lambda E_{\Delta k}^{+} - \alpha \delta \mu) \frac{\lambda E_{\Delta k}^{+} + E_{k}^{+}}{2E_{\Delta k}^{+}} \frac{(p_{0} + \lambda E_{\Delta k}^{+})(p_{0} + \lambda E_{\Delta k}^{+})}{(p_{0} + \lambda E_{\Delta k}^{+})^{2} - (E_{\Delta k}^{+})^{2}} \right] \]

\[ C_{11,22}^{1-} = \sum_{\Delta = \pm} \left[ \tilde{f}(\lambda E_{\Delta k}^{-} + \alpha \delta \mu) \frac{\lambda E_{\Delta k}^{-} + E_{k}^{-}}{2E_{\Delta k}^{-}} - p_{0} + \lambda E_{\Delta k}^{-} - E_{k}^{-} \right] \frac{(p_{0} + \lambda E_{\Delta k}^{-})(p_{0} + \lambda E_{\Delta k}^{-})}{(p_{0} + \lambda E_{\Delta k}^{-})^{2} - (E_{\Delta k}^{-})^{2}} + \tilde{f}(\lambda E_{\Delta k}^{-} - \alpha \delta \mu) \frac{\lambda E_{\Delta k}^{-} - E_{k}^{-}}{2E_{\Delta k}^{-}} \frac{(p_{0} + \lambda E_{\Delta k}^{-})(p_{0} + \lambda E_{\Delta k}^{-})}{(p_{0} + \lambda E_{\Delta k}^{-})^{2} - (E_{\Delta k}^{-})^{2}} \right] \]

\[ C_{12,21}^{1+} = -\Delta^{2} \sum_{\Delta = \pm} \left[ \tilde{f}(\lambda E_{\Delta k}^{+} + \alpha \delta \mu) \frac{1}{2E_{\Delta k}^{+}} - p_{0} + \lambda E_{\Delta k}^{+} + E_{k}^{+} \right] \frac{1}{(p_{0} + \lambda E_{\Delta k}^{+})^{2} - (E_{\Delta k}^{+})^{2}} + \tilde{f}(\lambda E_{\Delta k}^{+} - \alpha \delta \mu) \frac{1}{2E_{\Delta k}^{+}} \frac{(p_{0} + \lambda E_{\Delta k}^{+})(p_{0} + \lambda E_{\Delta k}^{+})}{(p_{0} + \lambda E_{\Delta k}^{+})^{2} - (E_{\Delta k}^{+})^{2}} \right] \]

\[ C_{12,21}^{1-} = -\Delta^{2} \sum_{\Delta = \pm} \left[ \tilde{f}(\lambda E_{\Delta k}^{-} + \alpha \delta \mu) \frac{1}{2E_{\Delta k}^{-}} - p_{0} + \lambda E_{\Delta k}^{-} - E_{k}^{-} \right] \frac{1}{(p_{0} + \lambda E_{\Delta k}^{-})^{2} - (E_{\Delta k}^{-})^{2}} + \tilde{f}(\lambda E_{\Delta k}^{-} - \alpha \delta \mu) \frac{1}{2E_{\Delta k}^{-}} \frac{(p_{0} + \lambda E_{\Delta k}^{-})(p_{0} + \lambda E_{\Delta k}^{-})}{(p_{0} + \lambda E_{\Delta k}^{-})^{2} - (E_{\Delta k}^{-})^{2}} \right] \]

where \( \tilde{f}(E) = [1 + \exp(E/T)]^{-1} \) is the Fermi distribution function. Note that

\[ C_{12}^{1+} = C_{21}^{1-}, \quad C_{21}^{1+} = C_{12}^{1-}, \quad C_{12}^{1+} = C_{21}^{1-}, \quad C_{12}^{2+} = C_{21}^{2-}. \]  

At zero temperature, these functions take the following form (here and below, the upper sign corresponds to the first case, e.g., \( C_{1+}^{1+} \), and the lower sign corresponds to the second case, e.g., \( C_{2+}^{2+} \)):

\[ C_{1+}^{1+} = - \frac{(E_{\Delta k}^{+} + E_{k}^{+})(E_{\Delta k}^{+} + E_{k}^{+} + p_{0})}{2E_{\Delta k}^{+}[(p_{0} + E_{\Delta k}^{+})^{2} - (E_{\Delta k}^{+})^{2}]} - \frac{(E_{\Delta k}^{+} + E_{k}^{+})(E_{\Delta k}^{+} + E_{k}^{+} - p_{0})}{2E_{\Delta k}^{+}[(p_{0} - E_{\Delta k}^{+})^{2} - (E_{\Delta k}^{+})^{2}]} + \theta(-E_{\Delta k}^{+} + \delta \mu) \frac{(E_{\Delta k}^{+} + E_{k}^{+})(E_{\Delta k}^{+} + E_{k}^{+} + p_{0})}{2E_{\Delta k}^{+}[(p_{0} + E_{\Delta k}^{+})^{2} - (E_{\Delta k}^{+})^{2}]} \]

\[ C_{1+}^{1-} = - \frac{(E_{\Delta k}^{-} + E_{k}^{-})(E_{\Delta k}^{-} + E_{k}^{-} + p_{0})}{2E_{\Delta k}^{-}[(p_{0} + E_{\Delta k}^{-})^{2} - (E_{\Delta k}^{-})^{2}]} - \frac{(E_{\Delta k}^{-} + E_{k}^{-})(E_{\Delta k}^{-} + E_{k}^{-} - p_{0})}{2E_{\Delta k}^{-}[(p_{0} - E_{\Delta k}^{-})^{2} - (E_{\Delta k}^{-})^{2}]} + \theta(-E_{\Delta k}^{-} + \delta \mu) \frac{(E_{\Delta k}^{-} + E_{k}^{-})(E_{\Delta k}^{-} + E_{k}^{-} + p_{0})}{2E_{\Delta k}^{-}[(p_{0} + E_{\Delta k}^{-})^{2} - (E_{\Delta k}^{-})^{2}]} \]

\[ \text{(B8)} \]
full text
\[ C_{4+}^{++} = \sum_{\lambda, \alpha = \pm} \lambda \left( \frac{\tilde{f}(\lambda E_{2\lambda}^+ + \alpha \delta \mu)}{2E_{2\lambda}^+} + \frac{\lambda E_{4\lambda}^+ - E_{4\lambda}^+}{2E_{4\lambda}^+} + \frac{\tilde{f}(\lambda E_{4\lambda}^+ - \alpha \delta \mu)}{p_0 + \lambda E_{4\lambda}^+ + E_{5\lambda}} + \frac{\lambda E_{4\lambda}^+ - E_{4\lambda}^-}{p_0 + \lambda E_{4\lambda}^- + E_{5\lambda}} \right) + \left[ \tilde{f}(E_{6\lambda}^+) \right] \]

\[ C_{4-}^{4-} = \sum_{\lambda, \alpha = \pm} \lambda \left( \frac{\tilde{f}(\lambda E_{2\lambda}^- + \alpha \delta \mu)}{2E_{2\lambda}^-} + \frac{\lambda E_{4\lambda}^- - E_{4\lambda}^-}{2E_{4\lambda}^-} + \frac{\tilde{f}(\lambda E_{4\lambda}^- + \alpha \delta \mu)}{p_0 + \lambda E_{4\lambda}^- + E_{5\lambda}} + \frac{\lambda E_{4\lambda}^- - E_{4\lambda}^+}{p_0 + \lambda E_{4\lambda}^+ + E_{5\lambda}} \right) + \left[ \tilde{f}(E_{6\lambda}^-) \right] \]

\[ C_{4+}^{4-} = \sum_{\lambda, \alpha = \pm} \lambda \left( \frac{\tilde{f}(\lambda E_{4\lambda}^- + \alpha \delta \mu)}{2E_{4\lambda}^-} + \frac{\lambda E_{4\lambda}^- - E_{4\lambda}^+}{2E_{4\lambda}^-} + \frac{\tilde{f}(\lambda E_{4\lambda}^- + \alpha \delta \mu)}{p_0 + \lambda E_{4\lambda}^- + E_{5\lambda}} + \frac{\lambda E_{4\lambda}^- - E_{4\lambda}^-}{p_0 + \lambda E_{4\lambda}^- + E_{5\lambda}} \right) + \left[ \tilde{f}(E_{6\lambda}^-) \right] \]

At zero temperature, the expressions for these coefficients become
one can use some useful approximations that simplify the calculations considerably. For example, in all integrals that come from particle-hole loops, the main contribution comes from a close vicinity of the average quark Fermi momentum $p = \bar{p}$. In these integrals, therefore, it is justified to make the following replacement:

\[ C_{++}^{44} = \frac{1}{E_{\Delta k}'} \left( \frac{E_{\Delta k}'}{E_{\Delta k}'} + E_{b,k} + p_0 \right) - \frac{1}{E_{\Delta k}'} \left( \frac{E_{\Delta k}'}{E_{\Delta k}'} + E_{b,k} + p_0 \right) + \frac{\theta(-E_{\Delta k} + \delta \mu)}{(E_{\Delta k}')^2 - (E_{b,k} + p_0)^2} \]

\[ C_{--}^{44} = \frac{1}{E_{\Delta k}'} \left( \frac{E_{\Delta k}'}{E_{\Delta k}'} + E_{b,k} + p_0 \right) - \frac{1}{E_{\Delta k}'} \left( \frac{E_{\Delta k}'}{E_{\Delta k}'} + E_{b,k} + p_0 \right) + \frac{\theta(-E_{\Delta k} + \delta \mu)}{(E_{\Delta k}')^2 - (E_{b,k} + p_0)^2} \]

\[ C_{++}^{44} = \frac{1}{E_{\Delta k}'} \left( \frac{E_{\Delta k}'}{E_{\Delta k}'} + E_{b,k} + p_0 \right) - \frac{1}{E_{\Delta k}'} \left( \frac{E_{\Delta k}'}{E_{\Delta k}'} + E_{b,k} + p_0 \right) + \frac{\theta(-E_{\Delta k} + \delta \mu)}{(E_{\Delta k}')^2 - (E_{b,k} + p_0)^2} \]

\[ C_{--}^{44} = \frac{1}{E_{\Delta k}'} \left( \frac{E_{\Delta k}'}{E_{\Delta k}'} + E_{b,k} + p_0 \right) - \frac{1}{E_{\Delta k}'} \left( \frac{E_{\Delta k}'}{E_{\Delta k}'} + E_{b,k} + p_0 \right) + \frac{\theta(-E_{\Delta k} + \delta \mu)}{(E_{\Delta k}')^2 - (E_{b,k} + p_0)^2} \]

\[ C_{++}^{55} = \frac{1}{E_{\Delta k}'} \left( \frac{E_{\Delta k}'}{E_{\Delta k}'} + E_{b,k} + p_0 \right) - \frac{1}{E_{\Delta k}'} \left( \frac{E_{\Delta k}'}{E_{\Delta k}'} + E_{b,k} + p_0 \right) + \frac{\theta(-E_{\Delta k} + \delta \mu)}{(E_{\Delta k}')^2 - (E_{b,k} + p_0)^2} \]

\[ C_{--}^{55} = \frac{1}{E_{\Delta k}'} \left( \frac{E_{\Delta k}'}{E_{\Delta k}'} + E_{b,k} + p_0 \right) - \frac{1}{E_{\Delta k}'} \left( \frac{E_{\Delta k}'}{E_{\Delta k}'} + E_{b,k} + p_0 \right) + \frac{\theta(-E_{\Delta k} + \delta \mu)}{(E_{\Delta k}')^2 - (E_{b,k} + p_0)^2} \]

\[ C_{++}^{55} = \frac{1}{E_{\Delta k}'} \left( \frac{E_{\Delta k}'}{E_{\Delta k}'} + E_{b,k} + p_0 \right) - \frac{1}{E_{\Delta k}'} \left( \frac{E_{\Delta k}'}{E_{\Delta k}'} + E_{b,k} + p_0 \right) + \frac{\theta(-E_{\Delta k} + \delta \mu)}{(E_{\Delta k}')^2 - (E_{b,k} + p_0)^2} \]

\[ C_{--}^{55} = \frac{1}{E_{\Delta k}'} \left( \frac{E_{\Delta k}'}{E_{\Delta k}'} + E_{b,k} + p_0 \right) - \frac{1}{E_{\Delta k}'} \left( \frac{E_{\Delta k}'}{E_{\Delta k}'} + E_{b,k} + p_0 \right) + \frac{\theta(-E_{\Delta k} + \delta \mu)}{(E_{\Delta k}')^2 - (E_{b,k} + p_0)^2} \]

\[ \text{APPENDIX C: MOMENTUM INTEGRALS} \]

1. Polarization tensor $\Pi_{\mu\nu}^{AB}$ with $A, B = 1, 2, 3, 8, 9$

In momentum integrals that appear in the expressions for the polarization tensor $\Pi_{\mu\nu}^{AB}$ with $A, B = 1, 2, 3, 8, 9$,...
\[
\int_0^\infty dk k^2 (\ldots)_{p-h} \approx \bar{\mu}^2 \int_0^\infty dk (\ldots)_{p-h}.
\]

(C1)

The corrections to such momentum integrals are suppressed by inverse powers of \(\bar{\mu}\). To be consistent with this approximation, the antiparticle-antiparticle loops should be omitted, and the dependence of the particle-antiparticle loops on \(\Delta, \delta \mu, \) and \(\mu_8\) may be neglected. As a result, the only type of the particle-antiparticle contribution, that appears in the calculation, has the form

\[
\int_0^\infty dk k^2 \left( -\frac{|k - \bar{\mu}| + k - \bar{\mu}}{|k - \bar{\mu}|(k + \bar{\mu} + |k - \bar{\mu}|) + \frac{1}{k}} \right) = \int_0^\mu k dk = \frac{\bar{\mu}^2}{2}.
\]

(C2)

The particle-hole loops give rise to the integrals of the following type:

\[
\int_0^\infty dk k^2 \frac{\Delta^2}{(E_{\Delta,k})^2} \approx 2\bar{\mu}^2,
\]

(C3)

\[
\int_0^\infty dk k^2 \frac{E^-_{\Delta,k}}{E^-_{\Delta,k}} \delta(-E^-_{\Delta,k} + \delta \mu) \approx 0,
\]

(C4)

\[
\int_0^\infty dk k^2 \frac{\Delta^2}{(E^-_{\Delta,k})^2} \theta(-E^-_{\Delta,k} + \delta \mu) \approx 2\bar{\mu}^2 \frac{\Delta^2}{\delta \mu \sqrt{(\delta \mu)^2 - \Delta^2}} \theta(\delta \mu - \Delta),
\]

(C5)

\[
\int_0^\infty dk k^2 \frac{\Delta^2}{(E^-_{\Delta,k})^2} \delta(-E^-_{\Delta,k} + \delta \mu) = 2\bar{\mu}^2 \frac{\Delta^2}{\delta \mu \sqrt{(\delta \mu)^2 - \Delta^2}} \theta(\delta \mu - \Delta),
\]

(C6)

\[
\int_0^\infty dk k^2 \frac{(E^-_{\Delta,k})^2 + (E^-_{\Delta,k})^2}{(E^-_{\Delta,k})^2} \delta(-E^-_{\Delta,k} + \delta \mu) = 2\bar{\mu}^2 \left[ \frac{\delta \mu}{\sqrt{(\delta \mu)^2 - \Delta^2}} + \frac{\sqrt{(\delta \mu)^2 - \Delta^2}}{\delta \mu} \right] \theta(\delta \mu - \Delta).
\]

(C7)

2. Polarization tensor \(\Pi^{AB}_{\mu \nu}\) with \(A, B = 4, 5, 6, 7\)

The calculation of the polarization tensor \(\Pi^{AB}_{\mu \nu}\) with \(A, B = 4, 5, 6, 7\) reduces to the calculation of the integral in Eq. (80a). This can be written as a sum of two expressions. One of them comes from integrating the first line in Eq. (78). The result is

\[
\int_{\mu_{\alpha \beta}} k^2 dk \frac{E^-_{\Delta,k} + k - \bar{\mu}}{E^-_{\Delta,k}(E^-_{\Delta,k} - k + \bar{\mu})} + \int_{\mu_{\alpha \beta}} k^2 dk \frac{\Delta^2}{E^-_{\Delta,k}[(E^-_{\Delta,k})^2 - (k - \bar{\mu})^2]} + \int_{\mu_{\alpha \beta}} k^2 dk \frac{E^-_{\Delta,k} - k + \bar{\mu}}{E^-_{\Delta,k}(E^-_{\Delta,k} + k - \bar{\mu})} \approx \bar{\mu}^2 \left[ \int_{\Delta \mu - \mu_8} \frac{dx(x^2 + \Delta^2 + \Delta^2)}{\sqrt{x^2 + \Delta^2 + \Delta^2}} + \int_{\Delta \mu - \mu_8} \frac{dx(x^2 + \Delta^2 - x - \mu_8)}{\sqrt{x^2 + \Delta^2 - x - \mu_8}} \right] \approx 2\bar{\mu}^2 \left[ 1 - \frac{\Delta^2}{4\mu_8^2} \ln \frac{(\mu_8^2 + \Delta^2)^2 - (\mu_8^2 + \Delta^2)^2}{\Delta^2} \right].
\]

(C8)

where \(\bar{\mu}_\alpha = \bar{\mu} - \mu_8\) and \(x = k - \bar{\mu}\). In this calculation, we used the following table integrals:

\[
\int \frac{dx(x^2 + \Delta^2 + \Delta^2)}{\sqrt{x^2 + \Delta^2 + \Delta^2}} = -\frac{\sqrt{x^2 + \Delta^2 + \Delta^2}}{\mu_8} - \frac{\Delta^2}{\mu_8^2} \ln \frac{\sqrt{x^2 + \Delta^2 + \Delta^2} - x - \mu_8}{\sqrt{x^2 + \Delta^2 - x}}.
\]

(C9)
\[
\int \frac{dx(2x^2 + \Delta^2 + x\mu_8)}{\sqrt{x^2 + \Delta^2 (\Delta^2 - 2x\mu_8 - \mu_8^2)}} = -\frac{\sqrt{x^2 + \Delta^2}}{\mu_8} + \frac{\Delta^2}{2\mu_8^2} \ln \left( \frac{\sqrt{x^2 + \Delta^2 + x + \mu_8}}{\sqrt{x^2 + \Delta^2 - x - \mu_8}} \right),
\]
(C10)

\[
\int \frac{dx(\sqrt{x^2 + \Delta^2} - x)}{\sqrt{x^2 + \Delta^2 (\sqrt{x^2 + \Delta^2} + x + \mu_8)}} = -\frac{\sqrt{x^2 + \Delta^2}}{\mu_8} + \frac{\Delta^2}{2\mu_8^2} \ln \left( \frac{\sqrt{x^2 + \Delta^2 + x + \mu_8}}{\sqrt{x^2 + \Delta^2 - x}} \right).
\]
(C11)

The other expression comes from integrating the second line in Eq. (78). The result is

\[
\theta(\delta \mu - \Delta) \int_{\mu^-}^{\mu^+} k^2 dk \frac{(E_{\Delta,k})^2 + (k - \bar{\mu})(k - \bar{\mu}_b)}{E_{\Delta,k}^2 (E_{\Delta,k}^2 - (k - \bar{\mu}_b)^2)} = \mu^2 \theta(\delta \mu - \Delta) \int_{-\sqrt{\delta \mu^2 - \Delta^2}}^{\sqrt{\delta \mu^2 - \Delta^2}} dx \left( \frac{2x^2 + \Delta^2 + x\mu_8}{\sqrt{x^2 + \Delta^2 (\Delta^2 - 2x\mu_8 - \mu_8^2)}} \right) \frac{dx}{2\mu_8^2} \ln \frac{\Delta^4 - \mu_8^2 (\delta \mu - \sqrt{\delta \mu^2 - \Delta^2})^2}{\Delta^4 - \mu_8^2 (\delta \mu + \sqrt{\delta \mu^2 - \Delta^2})^2} \theta(\delta \mu - \Delta).
\]
(C12)
[49] We thank V. Miransky for bringing this possibility to our attention.
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